

## Original Research Article

### **MODULUS OF ELASTICITY, MODULUS OF RUPTURE AND COMPRESSION STRENGTH OF TWO THERMALLY TREATED GHANAIAAN LESSER-USED WOOD SPECIES**

**Comment [E11]:** I suggest you change this topic to: mechanical properties of two thermally treated Ghanaian lesser-used wood species.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Some lesser used wood species from the forests in Ghana are non-durable and have low dimensional stability. These wood species can be modified to improve such properties. Thermal treatment of wood can improve wood properties such as durability and dimensional changes but has adverse effect on strength properties. The objective of this study was to thermally treat and evaluate its evaluate the impact of thermal modification on Modulus of elasticity (MOE), Modulus of rupture (MOR) and compression parallel to the grain (CP) of two Ghanaian Lesser-Used wood species Wawabima (*Sterculia rhinopetala*) and Niangon (*Heritiera utilis*). Thermal treatment of the two species showed that the MOE, MOR and CP decreased with increased heating temperature. The MOE of *Sterculia rhinopetala* decreased from 17,850.658 N/mm<sup>2</sup> to 16,520.002 N/mm<sup>2</sup> after thermal treatment from 150°C to 240°C. MOR decreased from 172.334 N/mm<sup>2</sup> to 70.181 N/mm<sup>2</sup> and CP from 75.246 to 57.934 N/mm<sup>2</sup>. The MOE of *Heritiera utilis* decreased from 15,604.142 N/mm<sup>2</sup> to 9,155.977 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, MOR from 144.465 N/mm<sup>2</sup> to 58.293 and CP from 52.842 to 39.103 N/mm<sup>2</sup>.

**Comment [E12]:** This statement is not completely valid. In some cases, thermal modification don't usually improve durability of some wood but you will even realise that the wood is affected by biogradating gents such as termite when exposed to graveyard. In a situation where you treat the wood at low or high temperature for a particular period of time, the chemical components (wood chemistry) of the wood must have changed. I suggest you recast this introduction here.

**Comment [E13]:** Write a concluding a statement here.

**Keywords:** Thermal Treatment, Modulus of elasticity, Modulus of rupture, compression parallel to the grain.

## 1.0 Introduction

Wood treated above temperatures of 150°C permanently affects its physical and chemical properties. If wood is heated at high temperature, changes in swelling and shrinkages are reduced [1], and durability improves while wood strength reduces [2, 3]. According to [4 - 6], thermal treatment gives improvement of durability of the wood, and tends to reduce mechanical strength when treatment temperature is high [7]. Some researchers [8 - 12], have reported that both thermal and chemically modifying wood could be used to improve properties such as dimensional changes, and durability but have a negative impact in reducing mechanical properties. This reduces the use of such modified wood as a construction material [13]. The decrease in modulus of rupture (MOR) by heating is 50% more than that of modulus of elasticity (MOE) [7]. According to [14 - 19], changes of wood strength properties are affected by the species, heat treatment intensity, treatment temperature and duration.

Wawabima, (*Sterculia rhinopetala*) and Niangon (*Heritiera utilis*) are lesser used species in the forests of Ghana [20]. *Sterculia rhinopetala* has average density of between 720 and 890 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, and it is a very heavy wood with moderate durability [20]. *Heritiera utilis* is a heavy wood with density range between 625 and 700 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, and is moderately resistant to decay [20]. These species could be thermally treated to improve their durability and reduce dimensional changes.

The objective of this study was to ~~thermally treat and~~ evaluate the impact of ~~heat~~ treatment on modulus of elasticity (MOE), modulus of rupture (MOR) and compression parallel to the grain (CP) of two Lesser-Used wood species in Ghana.

## 2.0 Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Sources of Materials

The *Sterculia rhinopetala* and *Heritiera utilis* logs were obtained from Sewhi Wiawso located in the Western region of Ghana.

### 2.2 Wood Samples Preparation

The logs were processed at the John Bitar Sawmill Company located in Takoradi in Ghana.

Wood samples were taken within 5 metres above the breast height diameter of 1.3m. Small and clear samples free from defects were taken from the heartwood. Sample sizes for MOE and MOR were 20×20×300mm and 20×20×60mm for CP. Five sets were prepared each of the strength tests. Each set had 30 replicates. The samples were air dried to a moisture content of 12%.

**Comment [E14]:** Why not considered both heartwood and sapwood region of the wood?. You could have give a good comparism.

**Comment [E15]:** Did you conditioned the samples or how did you monitor the sample to get your 12% moisture content. 65% RH or what?

### 2.3 Thermal treatment

One set of the wood samples was used as the control for MOE and MOR and another set as control for the CP test. The sets of the wood samples were thermally treated in the absence of oxygen to avoid burning. The sets of samples were treated for 6 hours at varied temperatures of 150°C, 210°C, 180°C, 210°C and 240°C.

**Comment [E16]:** What is the name of the instrument used for your experiment/thermal modification - Oven or muffle furnace. This should reflect here

### 2.4 Percentage weight loss

The percentage weight loss (%WL) of samples at each heating temperature was calculated by the formula stated in equation 1.

$$\% \text{WL} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{W_2} \text{equation 1}$$

**Comment [E17]:** I suggest you use equation editor here

where  $W_2$  is the initial weight of the sample and  $W_1$  is the weight of sample after heating at a particular temperature.

## 2.5 MOR, MOE and CP determination

Determination of MOR, MOE and CP were conducted using the test procedure [21], which required using small clear wood specimens. At the end of the experimental processes, the moisture content of the samples were determined and the moisture content (MC) of samples which differed from 12% were recorded.

Corrections for strength values for samples which differed from 12 % MC were corrected by the formula used by [22], as shown in equation 2.

$$\mu_{12} = \mu_n \{1 + \gamma (N_2 - 12)\} \quad \text{equation 2}$$

Comment [E18]: Equation editor please

where:

$\mu_{12}$  = strength at 12% moisture content (N/mm<sup>2</sup>),

$\mu_n$  = strength at moisture content different from 12% (N/mm<sup>2</sup>).

$\gamma$  = constant value for relationship between strength and moisture content ( $\gamma = 0.05, 0.04, 0.02,$ )

for compression strength parallel to the grain, MOR, and MOE respectively.

$N_2$  = moisture content during test (%).

## 3.0 Results and Discussions

Table 1 shows the mean values of MOE, MOR and CP of *Sterculia rhinopetala* and *Heritiera utilis* samples treated at heating temperatures of 150°C, 180°C, 210°C and 240°C.

**Table 1. Mean values of Weight Loss, MOE, MOR and CP of *Sterculia rhinopetala* (Sr), and *Heritiera utilis* (Hu)**

	No	150°C	180°C	210°C	240°C
Treatment					
(Sr) MOE (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ).	17,850.658	15,727.600	14,021.568	12,660.024	10,520.002
(Sr) Weight loss (%) after heating.		5.023	8.042	15.568	18.920
(Sr) Percentage change in MOE after heating.		11.893 <sup>a</sup>	21.451 <sup>b</sup>	29.078 <sup>c</sup>	41.067 <sup>d</sup>
(Hu) MOE (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ).	15,604.142	13,575.604	11,703.197	10,610.817	9,155.977
(Hu) Weight loss (%) after Heating.	4.522	6.042	12.546	15.222	
(Hu) Percentage change in MOE after heating.		13.000 <sup>a</sup>	25.000 <sup>b</sup>	32.000 <sup>c</sup>	41.323 <sup>d</sup>
(Sr) MOR (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ).	172.334	143.244	107.657	71.002	70.181
(Sr) Percentage change in MOR after heating.		16.886 <sup>a</sup>	37.530 <sup>b</sup>	58.800 <sup>c</sup>	59.276 <sup>d</sup>

(Hu) MOR (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	144.465	117.306	90.076	61.740	58.293
(Hu) Percentage change in MOR after heating.		18.700 <sup>a</sup>	37.649 <sup>b</sup>	57.263 <sup>c</sup>	59.649 <sup>d</sup>
(Sr) CP (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ).	75.246	69.331	68.264	64.801	57.939
(Sr) Percentage change in CP after heating.		7.860 <sup>a</sup>	9.289 <sup>b</sup>	13.811 <sup>c</sup>	23.000 <sup>d</sup>
(Hu) CP (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ).	52.842	48.086	45.973	41.798	39.103
(Hu) Percentage change in CP after heating.		9.000 <sup>a</sup>	12.100 <sup>b</sup>	20.900 <sup>c</sup>	26.000 <sup>d</sup>

\*Means superscript with different letters on a row are significantly different at P < 0.05

### 3.1 Evaluation of MOE

In Table 1, *Sterculia rhinopetala* with MOE of 17,850.658 N/mm<sup>2</sup> before treatment, decreased to 15,727.600 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 14,021.568 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 12,660.024 N/mm<sup>2</sup> and 10,520.002 N/mm<sup>2</sup> when treated at temperatures 150°C, 180°C, 210°C, 240°C respectively. The Percentage change in MOE from the control at heating temperatures 150°C, 180°C, 210°C, and 240°C were 11.893<sup>a</sup>, 21.451<sup>b</sup>, 29.078<sup>c</sup> and 41.067<sup>d</sup> respectively. The *Sterculia rhinopetala* samples lost percentage weight of 5.023 at the heating temperature 150°C and percentage weight loss increased to 18.92 at

temperature of 240°C. The result indicated that the MOE decreased while the weight loss and percentage change in MOE from the control also increased as the heating temperature increased. Similar trend of change in properties of *Sterculia rhinopetala* was observed in *Heritiera utilis*. The MOE reduced from 15,604.142 N/mm<sup>2</sup> before heating to 13,575.604 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 11,703.197 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 10,610.817 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, and 9,155.977 N/mm<sup>2</sup> at heating temperatures of 150°C, 180°C, 210°C, 240°C respectively. Weight loss increased from 4.522, 6.042, 12.546 and 15.222 at heating temperatures of 150°C, 180°C, 210°C, 240°C respectively. The percentage change in MOE from the control samples increased in the order of 13.000<sup>a</sup>, 25.000<sup>b</sup>, 32.000<sup>c</sup>, and 41.323<sup>d</sup> as temperature increased from 150°C, 180°C, 210°C, 240°C respectively. Percentage change in weight loss increased as heating temperature increased. This trend agrees with [7, 15 - 17], who reported that wood treated at higher temperatures for longer periods can cause severe loss in mechanical properties. With the exception of temperature at 240°C where *Sterculia rhinopetala* and *Heritiera utilis* had similar percentage change in MOE from the control, *Heritiera utilis* which is a heavy wood species had a higher percentage change in MOE from the control than *Sterculia rhinopetala*, a very heavy wood species. The result was in agreement with [14 - 19], who reported that degradation of wood strength properties depend on the species, heat treatment intensity, treatment temperature and duration.

### 3.2 Evaluation of MOR

The MOR of *Sterculia rhinopetala* before treatment was 172.334 N/mm<sup>2</sup>. The MOR reduced to 143.244 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 107.657 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 71.002 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, and 70.181 N/mm<sup>2</sup> when treated at 150°C, 180°C, 210°C, and 240°C respectively. The percentage change in MOR from the control reduced from 16.886<sup>a</sup>, 37.530<sup>b</sup>, 58.800<sup>c</sup>, to 59.276<sup>d</sup> as the heating temperature increased by 150°C, 180°C, 210°C, and 240°C respectively.

**Comment [E19]:** This result is definitely expected here as the wood have low density and heating at high temperature will have great impact on the weight loss. I think it is a good idea to have consider lower temperature for these kind of species but this can be a future work. Alternatively the time could have been from 1 hrs, 3hrs and 6hrs. The treatment parameters are not suitable for these species leading to decrease in MOE and greater weight loss.

**Comment [E110]:** Do you need to put this superscript here? The number is enough and you can refer to the table

**Comment [E111]:** Check other numbers if you miss degrees.

**Comment [E112]:** See comment above

Similarly, the MOR of the control samples of *Heritiera utilis* which was 144.465 N/mm<sup>2</sup> reduced to 117.306 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 90.076 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 61.740 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, and 58.293 N/mm<sup>2</sup> as the heating temperatures increased from 150°C, 180°C, 210°C, to 240°C respectively. The percentage change in MOR from the control also increased by 18.700<sup>a</sup>, 37.649<sup>b</sup>, 57.263<sup>c</sup>, and 59.649<sup>d</sup> as heating temperatures increased from 150°C, 180°C, 210°C, to 240°C respectively. Even though the MOR of *Sterculia rhinopetala* reduced (143.244 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 107.657 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 71.002 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, and 70.181 N/mm<sup>2</sup>) as the temperature increased, they were comparatively higher than that of *Heritiera utilis* (117.306 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 90.076 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 61.740 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, and 58.293 N/mm<sup>2</sup>) respectively.

Comment [EI13]: See comment above

Comment [EI14]: Address appropriately

Apart from the heating temperature of 150°C where *Sterculia rhinopetala* had a lower percentage change in MOR 16.886<sup>a</sup> from the control as compared to MOR of 18.700 for *Heritiera utilis*, there was no significant percentage change in MOR of *Sterculia rhinopetala* as compared to that of *Heritiera utilis*. Within the temperature range of 150°C to 240°C the percentage change in MOR from the control samples of *Sterculia rhinopetala* was in the range 29.569% to 50.548% and higher than that of MOE in the range of 11.893 to 45.067%. The percentage change in MOR from the control of *Heritiera utilis*, between the temperature range of 150°C and 240°C was 30.481% to 44.117% higher than that of the MOE (13.000% to 41.323 %). These findings were in agreement with [7], who reported that decrease in modulus of rupture (MOR) by heating is 50% more than that of modulus of elasticity (MOE).

Comment [EI15]: Format?

Comment [EI16]: Font colour

Comment [EI17]: Check other numbers to close the space between number and %

### 3.3 Evaluation of compression parallel to the grain (CP)

The CP reduced as the treatment temperatures increased for both *Sterculia rhinopetala* and *Heritiera utilis* species. *Sterculia rhinopetala* CP decreased from the control 75.246 N/mm<sup>2</sup> to 69.331 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 68.264 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 64.801 N/mm<sup>2</sup> and 57.939 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, and *Heritiera utilis* CP also

Comment [EI18]: Format

decreased from control of 52.842 N/mm<sup>2</sup> to 48.086 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 45.973 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 41.798 N/mm<sup>2</sup> and 39.103 N/mm<sup>2</sup> at temperatures of 150°C, 180°C, 210°C, and 240°C respectively.

#### 4.0 Conclusions

The findings showed that thermal modification had adverse effect on the mechanical properties of *Sterculia rhinopetala* and *Heritiera utilis*. The untreated samples of the two species had a higher MOE, MOR and CP than the treated samples. As the treatment temperature increased, the MOE, MOR and the CP for the two species decreased. The effect of the treatment reduced the MOR by almost 50% more than the MOE. The effect of the treatment on the MOE and MOR of the two species were the same while the effect on the CP of the *Sterculia rhinopetala* was lower than the *Heritiera utilis*.

There is therefore the need to consider the effects of thermal treatment on wood since strength properties are important when using wood as a construction material.

#### References

- [1] Anonymous, (2003). ThermoWood Handbook, Finnish Thermowood Association, c/o Wood Focus Oy, P.O. Box 284 (Snellmaninkatu 13), FIN-00171, Helsinki, Finland.
- [2] Rusche, H. (1973). Thermal degradation of wood at temperatures up to 200°C. Part 1: strength properties of dried wood after heat treatment. *Holz Roh Werkst*, 31: 273-281.
- [3] Kubojima, Y., Okano, T., & Ohta, M. (2000). Bending strength and toughness of heat-treated wood. *J. Wood Sci.* 46: 8-15.
- [4] Gunduz, G., Aydemir, D., & Karakas, G. (2009). The effects of thermal treatment on the mechanical properties of wild Pear (*Pyrus elaeagnifolia* Pall.) wood and changes in physical properties. *Mater Des*, 30:4391–4395.

**Comment [E119]:** Please look at the font colour of all your text as they differ from your other. Correct appropriately.

**Comment [E120]:** No any reference here. Try to cite other work for CP if it agree or disagree with what was reported in the literature. A lot of work has been done in this area. Moreso, most of your reference on MOE and MOR are on European species. Check thermal modification of these species: Daniela olivera, Anthocleista djalonesis, Ceiba pentandra.

**Comment [E121]:** 50%? How did measure this?

**Comment [E122]:** Sure, there is a need to consider heat treatment of lesser used wood but your result showed that high temperature will negatively affect the mechanical properties of the wood. It would have been good if you do the dimensional stability of the wood e.g water absorption, swelling/shrinkage, density.

- [5] Andersons, B., Chirkova, J., Andersone, I., & Irbe, I. (2012). Prediction of the properties of soft deciduous wood in thermal modification. Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop Cost Action FP0904, Nancy, 96–97.
- [6] Dilik, T., & Hiziroglu, S. (2012). Bonding strength of heat treated compressed Eastern red cedar wood. *Mater Des*, 42:317–320.
- [7] Xie, Y., Fu, O., Wang, Q., Xiao, Z., & Militz, H. (2013). Effects of chemical modification on the mechanical properties of wood. *Eur.J. Wood Prod.* 71:401–416 DOI: 10.1007/s00107-013-0693-4.
- [8] Homan, W. J., & Jorissen, A. J. M. (2004). Wood modification developments. *Heron*, 49:361–386.
- [9] Rowell, R. M. (2005). Chemical modification of wood. In: Handbook of wood chemistry and wood composites. CRC Press, Boca Raton, 381–420.
- [10] Rowell, R. M. (2006). Chemical modification of wood: a short review. *Wood Material Sci Eng* 1:29–33. DOI: 10.1080/1748027060067092
- [11] Esteves, B. M., & Pereira, H. M. (2009). Wood modification by heat treatment: a review. *BioResources*, 4:370–40.
- [12] Hill, C. A. S. (2011). Wood modification: an update. *BioResources* 6:918–919.
- [13] Kretschmann, D. E. (2010). Mechanical properties of wood. Madison, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory: General technical report FPL-GTR-190 (5) 1-5
- [14] Welzbacher, C. R., Brischke, C., & Rapp, O. A. (2007). Influence of treatment temperature and duration on selected biological, mechanical, physical and optical properties of thermally modified timber. *Wood Mater Sci Eng* 2:66–76.

- [15] Kocaefe, D., Poncsak, S., & Boluk, Y. (2008). Effect of thermal treatment on the chemical composition and mechanical properties of birch and aspen. *Bioresources* 3:517–537
- [16] Candelier, K., Dumarçay, S., Pétrissans, A., Gérardin, P., & Pétrissans, M. (2013d). Comparison of mechanical properties of heat treated beech woods cured under nitrogen or vacuum. *Polym Degrad Stab* 98:1762–1765.
- [17] Hannouz, S., Collet, R., Buteaud, J.C., Bléron, L., & Candelier, K. (2015). Mechanical characterization of heat treated ash wood in relation with structural timber standards. *Pro Ligno* 11:3–10.
- [18] Militz, H. (2002). Thermal treatment of wood: European processes and their background. IRG/WP 02-40241. In: 33rd Annual Meeting, May 12-17, Cardiff-Wales, 4: 1-17.
- [19] Candelier, K., Chaouch, M., Dumarçay, S., Petrissans, A., Petrissans, M., & Gérardin, P. (2011a). Utilization of thermodesorption coupled to GC-MS to study stability of different wood species to thermodegradation. *J. Anal App Pyrol* 92:376–383.
- [20] Blackham, G., Prado, N., Parke, J., & Bastos, C. T. (2020). Lesser-Known and Lesser-Used Timber Species: Utilising Ghana's Sustainable Timber Resources. BVRIO,1 – 32. Forestry Commission, Ghana.
- [21] BS 373 (1957). British Standard Methods of Testing Small Clear Specimens of Lumber. British Standard Institution, London.
- [22] Korkut, S., Alma, H. M., & Kenan Y. E. (2009). The effects of heat treatment on physical and technological properties and surface roughness of European Hophornbeam

(*Ostrya carpinifolia scop.*)Wood. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 820 (20):

5316 – 5327.

UNDER PEER REVIEW