

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_93932
Title of the Manuscript:	Wire Length Formulation Using Wire Density, Volume and Weight for Hand Calculation and Verification of Wire Usage
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The grammar and syntax of many sentences are incorrect containing numerous grammar mistakes, which should have been easily detected and corrected by more careful and thorough proof-reading.</li> <li>use of very poor ENGLISH.</li> <li>All keywords (abbreviations) must be mentioned in the abstract.</li> <li>It is required to have the latest citations at least to be included under literature survey to justify the results been compared to the current results.</li> <li>The paper needs to undergo a major revision while evaluating the proofs and corresponding experimental results so as to justify the approach- missing equations numbers.</li> <li>The paper is obsolete, the references are too old.</li> </ol> <p>further the paper focus on a short paragraph to introduce what the rest of the paper contents will follow at the end of the Introduction section is missing. This paragraph is important; as it can enable the readers to understand what the following content will be and arouse their interest to continue reading the paper.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Content from the review literature has been done to an extent (70%) and can help in future research directions, further it is required to have the latest citations at least to be included under literature survey to justify the results been compared to the current results.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Khalid Nazim Abdul Sattar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Majmaah University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia</b>