

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_93777
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of safety factors of pipelines through the dike
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>General Comments</p> <p>The Title is appropriate</p> <p>The manuscript is not well organized and structured as format for article</p> <p>The introduction is well detailed but not correctly cited with viable reference.</p> <p>The methodology is not well spelt out correctly.</p> <p>The results and discussion are not presented accurately</p> <p>The conclusion is not properly written</p> <p>The references are not well cited in the body of the article</p> <p>**The references listed should be arranged properly</p> <p>**The journal references format should be followed strictly</p> <p>Recommendation: Minor Revision</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The Figures are not clear enough</p> <p>The figures' captions are not placed properly under each figure.</p> <p>The figures' labels are not numbered very well. It should be numbered as Figure 1, 2, and 3.</p> <p>Ditto to all figures in the body of the manuscript.</p> <p>The equations should be numbered and also be mentioned in the text of the paper.</p> <p>Ditto to all the equations</p> <p>This is not proper in article write up</p> <p>Just put Conclusion.</p> <p>**The references listed should be arranged properly</p> <p>**The journal references format should be followed strictly</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tunji John Erinle
Department, University & Country	Nigeria