

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_93773
Title of the Manuscript:	A review of research on the preparation of grout from waste quartz sand
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1-Title: re-write the title; clarify the type of grout; what it is type of waste? 2-General discussions may not be included in Abstract! What is the question of the study? 3-For all sections: extensive general discussions are included. No review for the latest relevant literature (with the most significant findings in %) have been presented. 4- No discussions for the gaps in the previous studies have been discussed. Such gaps need to be covered by future studies. 5- No Conclusions have been addressed. 6-No. of citations is not enough to provide an adequate review for the "grout from waste quartz sand" 7-More comments are included in the reviewer attachment. 8-repetition of discussions should be avoided. 9-full proofreading for the manuscript is necessary.	
Minor REVISION comments	1- Some figures that illustrate some topics of the study may be included.	
Optional/General comments	Non	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Qasim M. Shakir
Department, University & Country	University of Kufa, Iraq