

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_93734
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on reinforcement-concrete bonded anchorage
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1- Each research is written with a paragraph independent of the other research (and two papers are not placed in one paragraph except in the case of necessity) 2- Illustrations (of work and results) of each research must be added (by figures or tables) 3- Arrange the research narrative (for each paragraph) in chronological order, indicating the year in which the study was conducted. 4- A reformulation of the conclusions and Explain the advantages and disadvantages of the mentioned research studies 5- Add a paragraph showing the symbols and abbreviations that have been used	
Minor REVISION comments	Referred to in the attached paper	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed S. D. AL-Ridha
Department, University & Country	Mustansiriyah-University, Iraq