

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_93631
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Testing of Hydrocyclone Separator for Biodiesel
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The authors presented an impressive green method for the development and testing of hydrocyclone separators for biodiesel production. Therefore, I recommend a minor revision of the manuscript prior to publication. Below are some specific comments: The introduction is too short and less attention has been paid to the kinds of literature published recently. Kindly provide a summary of the advantage of hydrocyclone system including recent publications. Characterization and methodology parts are adequately discussed; however, I highly recommend that to compare achieved data with some of the published ones in the past and highlight the importance of this research to readers. The conclusion part is well-written and summarizes the outcomes of the research. I would like to see the outlook of the authors for the future. The quality of some images, especially image 1 should be improved. The format (including upper case and lower case) of References should be consistent.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Soroush Soltani
Department, University & Country	Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia