

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_93319
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on microscopic model of continuous pedestrian flow
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Manuscript needs to be modified:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- It is suggested in the abstract that the author(s) rewrite the abstract. You need to show the objective of this paper clearly and a brief summary of the results.</li><li>- The introduction paragraph requires references.</li><li>- In figure No. 1, put the reference number (only) after the title of the figure.</li><li>- The word " pedestrian " is repeated in section (2) paragraph (4). Delete it.</li><li>- In Equation (2), define all parameters.</li><li>- Equation (3) must be rewritten in equation style.</li><li>- The conclusion is not coherent and clear and needs to be re-written.</li><li>- The references (except reference [14]) used are very old, so it is possible to add new references on the subject. Please add more recent references with a limit of the last 10 years.</li><li>➤ From my point of view, to do a review paper, the approved references must be at least 25.</li></ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noor Abdul Khaleq Zghair
Department, University & Country	University of Technology, Iraq