

**BIOCHAR: AN EMERGING SOIL AMENDMENT FOR SUSTAINING SOIL HEALTH AND BLACK GOLD FOR INDIAN AGRICULTURE**

**Abstract:** At the international level, improving soil with coal is seen as a means to increase soil productivity, fertility and also to mitigate climate change. Biochar, which is used to increase land scope and store carbon, is currently gaining scientific attention and popularity in the agriculture sector. It is a solid material made by pyrolysis process of any biomass, including weeds, agricultural leftovers and other plant wastes, to carbonise it and use it as a soil amendment and carbon sequestration medium. Biochar is a viable option for enhancing soil chemical properties such as cation exchange capacity (CEC) and soil pH, as well as lowering exchangeable acidity. Biochar was also discovered to boost soil biota by boosting nutrient availability, improving habitat appropriateness, increasing water retention and aeration as well as lowering harmful compounds in the soil. Also, it can help to mitigate climate change by sequestering carbon in the soil and reducing nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) and methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) gas emissions to the environment by enhancing soil absorption. However, several basic mechanisms and manipulations of biochar remain unknown and require further research.

**Key words:** Biochar, Carbon, Climate mitigation, Soil properties

**1. INTRODUCTION**

As agricultural leftovers collect in fields, they can generate significant crop management issues and sometimes treated as a burden, owing to a lack of resources to turn it into an asset due that it is a growing issue in the Indian agricultural sector as well as in world. Hence, residue burning has traditionally been used to clear agricultural fields, allowing for easier land preparation and early planting. As a result, most Indian farmer's burn crop residue, resulting in the loss of valuable biomass and nutrients as well as the release of toxic gases such as CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and methane, which contribute to global warming. Therefore, reducing crop residue burning and maintaining a threshold level of organic matter in the soil, on the other hand, is critical for the physical, chemical and biological integrity of the soil as well as long-term agricultural output. As a result, using the pyrolysis process to convert organic waste to biochar is one possible alternative for increasing natural rates of carbon sequestration in the soil, reducing farm waste and improving soil health. Biochar is a charcoal-like material made from plant materials such as grass, agricultural and forest leftovers that degrade at high temperatures, usually during the creation of renewable energy. The physical and chemical properties of the plant material change during the process,

**Comment [HP1]:** The manuscript reviews the impact of biochar application on soil (physical, chemical and biological) properties and potential of biochar to address the climate change. The MS is well written; while following comments are suggested for further improvement of Manuscript:  
1. Manuscript does not have any table of figure showing impact of biochar on soil properties and need to be included (Compiled table by author).  
2. A section of impact of biochar application on crop growth and productivity is needed.  
3. Include a section of process of biochar formation and modification of process involved in production of biochar over space and time dimension if any.  
4. Provide information of values of chemical parameter/ properties of biochar prepared and reported using different materials.  
5. Author/Authors is/are welcome to cite their own finding in tabular form.

**Comment [HP2]:** Give in short about what are the aspects the present review article deal; A concluding statement based on the author review work need to mention in abstract. Avoid open (non-ending) statement; given authors opining what further research, policy development needed based on the review made by author.

**Comment [HP3]:** Meaning is not clear;

**Comment [HP4]:** Avoid open (non-ending) statement; given authors opining what further research, policy development needed based on the review made by author.

**Comment [HP5]:** Support the statements and comments made/written in introduction section with references. Explain the need/ scope for writing review considering the- 1) research done, 2) significance of biochar in agriculture and 3) location/situation specific reasons.

Avoid writing lengthy sentences and break in two or more sentences wherever needed.

**Comment [HP6]:** Re-construct the sentence; see for example:  
"Therefore, reducing residue burning and maintaining a threshold level of organic matter in soil is critical/ needed. This will enhance/ maintain physical, chemical and biological integrity of the soil as well as long-term agricultural output."

36 resulting in biochar, a highly porous, stable, carbon-rich substance. This is 2,000 year old method  
37 transforms agricultural waste into a soil amendment that can store carbon, increase soil biodiversity and  
38 reduce deforestation. Biochar is a fine-grained, highly porous charcoal that helps soils retain nutrients and  
39 water while also retaining carbon.

40 The use of biochar as soil amendment is proposed as a new approach to mitigate man-induced  
41 climate change along with improving soil productivity. Biochar is currently a subject of active research  
42 global because it is able to constitute a feasible alternative for sustainable agriculture due to its ability as a  
43 long term sink for carbon in soil and blessings for crops. Pyrolysis is a thermo-chemical system where  
44 biomass is heated in the absence of oxygen, whereby the resulting char is normally stabilized carbon.  
45 When this char is intentionally produced for agricultural or environmental use it's far called biochar.  
46 Biochar manufacturing from agricultural waste and its use in agriculture can play a key function in  
47 climate change mitigation, improve the soil quality and management of waste substances coming from  
48 agriculture and forestry (Zhang *et al.*, 2012). It was observed that application of biochar improves soil  
49 physical, chemical and soil biota characteristics by increased soil pH, cation exchange capacity, soil water  
50 holding capacity, permeability and modify soil bulk density—and aggregate stability. Biochar may also  
51 adsorb pesticides, nutrients and minerals in soil, preventing the movement of these chemicals to surface  
52 water or groundwater and the subsequent degradation of these waters from agricultural activity. Biochar  
53 has also been validated to reduce methane and nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural soils, which give  
54 a bonus in mitigating climate change effects. These environmental welfare shave great economic value in  
55 the form of boosting agricultural production and productivity, safeguarding water quality protection and a  
56 bridged the emission of greenhouse gasses. Due to its multiple benefits, biochar has acquired attention  
57 from climate and policy analysts. Therefore, this paper reviews the role of biochar in soil physical,  
58 chemical and biological property as well as climate change mitigation.

## 60 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

### 61 2.1. Effect on soil physical properties

62 The fact that most of carbon in biochar is summarized aromatic and intractable, a small fraction of it  
63 less than 10% is labile and available for microbial decomposed (Wang *et al.*, 2017). As an example,  
64 Steiner *et al.* (2007) noted that 4-8% of biochar carbon was lost during four cropping cycles in a field trial  
65 in Manaus, Brazil. In fact, carbon from biochar plays a key role in soil aggregate stability based on mean  
66 weight diameter measurement. Liu *et al.* (2014) applied 40 t/ha of a wheat straw biochar to a red soil of  
67 southern China and reported that the soil water stable aggregate (>0.25 mm) was enhanced by 28% over  
68 the control. Similarly, Wang *et al.* (2017) showed a remarkable improvement in aggregation of a fine  
69 texture soil with 217% and 126% average increases in mean weight diameter when incubated for 60  
70 weeks with a softwood biochar. The result of experiment conducted at Navsari (Gujarat) by Patel in 2019

Comment [HP7]: Is it 'waste'; 'Check it.

Comment [HP8]: Is it 'shape' Check once

Comment [HP9]: Modify the title such as  
'Effect on soil properties'  
Heading and sub-heading should follow the Journal  
style.

Comment [HP10]: Check it; Is it correct  
word/phrase?

71 revealed that application of 2.5 t/ha biochar had positive effect on moisture retention capacity of clay  
72 loam soil at different tension over control.

73 Biochar have high porosity, which was caused by the pyrolytic emission of structural water and the  
74 decomposition into gases of feedstock tissues (*e.g.*, cellulose, lignin, proteins). With numerous and  
75 variable pores, biochar help reduce the bulk density and increase the water holding capacity of the  
76 amended soil (Duong *et al.*, 2017). Thus, adding biochar at common rates of 0.5-5.0% to mineral soils  
77 having an average bulk density of 1.2 g/cm<sup>3</sup> will reduce the overall bulk density of the amended soil  
78 significantly (Laird *et al.*, 2010). An experiment conducted by Case *et al.* (2012) reported that soil bulk  
79 density decreased from 0.95 g/cm<sup>3</sup> to 0.89, 0.87, and 0.84 g/cm<sup>3</sup> with the application of 2, 5, and 10% of a  
80 hardwood biochar, respectively. Chaudhary *et al.* (2016) reported that application of biochar 12 t/ha  
81 significantly lowered bulk density of fine texture soil due to high porosity of biochar. The experiment  
82 conducted by Duong *et al.* (2017) showed that 1% biochar made from rice husk or coffee husk increased  
83 the water holding capacity of a sandy gray soil of Vietnam by 26-33%. Also, Gowthami *et al.* (2019)  
84 noticed that with increasing the rate of biochar from 2, 4 and 6 t/ha, increased porosity and WHC as well  
85 as decreased bulk density of acidic red sandy loam soil was observed. Similarly, bulk density, porosity  
86 and WHC of plinthustults soil significantly improved with application of biochar 10 t/ha were observed in  
87 an experiment conducted by Rajakumar and Sankar (2019) at Kerala.

## 88 **2.2. Effect on soil chemical properties**

89 Biochar is currently a subject of active research worldwide because it can constitute a viable option  
90 for sustainable agriculture due to its potential as a long term sink for carbon in soil and benefits for crops.  
91 Significant increment of organic carbon content was reported from a number of studies. Significant  
92 increase in organic carbon content in calcareous loamy soil recorded with the application of 40 t/ha  
93 biochar over control (Zhang *et al.*, 2012). With increase in biochar rates up to 12 t/ha the organic carbon  
94 content in loamy soil was increased significantly (Chaudhary *et al.*, 2016). During an experiment on  
95 impact of biochar at Colorado, post-harvest total organic carbon content in portneuf silt loam soil were  
96 significantly enhanced with 22.4 Mg/ha biochar + 42 Mg/ha manure over control (Khalid *et al.*, 2016).  
97 Similarly Ullah *et al.*(2018) noticed that application of 10 t/ha biochar prepared from wheat straw and  
98 sugarcane significantly improved the organic carbon content in sandy soil as compared to control.

99 Another chemical property of Bbiochar is its alkalinity ~~can come from~~ which is accounted by four  
100 sources: viz., surface functional groups, soluble organic compounds, carbonates and other inorganic  
101 alkalis (Fidel *et al.*, 2017). As an example, Xu *et al.* (2012) reported an increase over 2 pH units, from  
102 5.0 to more than 7.0, when 5% peanut shell biochar was applied to four acid soils of southern China.  
103 Also, application of the biochar prepared from different sources (*Ageratum conyzoides*, *Lantana camera*,  
104 *Gynura sp.*, *Setaria sp.*, *Avena fatua*, Maize stalk and Pine needles) had positive and significant impact on  
105 improvement of soil pH. Irrespective of the sources of biochar, its application improved soil pH by 0.26  
106

107 | to 0.30 units within 2 months (Mandal *et al.*, 2015). ~~The~~ Due to alkaline pH of most biochar,  
108 | incorporating biochar into acid soils can increase soil pH up to 73% with an average increase of 28%  
109 | (Mukherjee and Lal 2017). Similarly, ~~in 2018,~~ Wakjira (2018) noticed that increasing biochar application  
110 | rate 52, 104 and 156 Mg/ha prepared from corn stover and switch grass significantly increased soil pH of  
111 | acidic soil over control.

112 | The cation exchange capacity will be markedly increased when biochar is aged and is mixed with  
113 | soil. The possible reason for increased in CEC due to the amendments of biochar might be high surface  
114 | area, high porousporosity, possesses organic materials of variable charge that have the potential to  
115 | increase soil CEC and base saturation when added to soil (Glaser *et al.*, 2002). Many studies have shown  
116 | that soil CEC may increase up to 30% on average. Laird *et al.* (2010) indicated that the biochar treatments  
117 | significantly increased cation exchange capacity by 4 to 30 % compared to the control. Available  
118 | evidences also suggest that, the intrinsic CEC of biochar is consistently higher than that of whole soil,  
119 | clays or soil organic matter (Sohi *et al.*, 2010). Similarly, Mukherjee *et al.* (2014) reported that after aging  
120 | for 15 months, biochar made by pyrolysis of wood (oak and pine) and grass at 250, 400, and 650 C  
121 | exhibited fivefold increase in CEC. Nutrient entrapment caused by porous structure, and high water  
122 | holding capacity has been suggested as a responsible mechanism for anions, such as nitrate and arsenate  
123 | retention (Ippolito *et al.*, 2015). Similarly, Chaudhary *et al.* (2016) reported that application of 12 t/ha  
124 | biochar with and without RDF showed significantly higher CEC of loamy soil.

125 | Besides being an efficient adsorbent, biochar itself contains nutrients. Depending on feedstock and  
126 | pyrolysis process and also on individual nutrient, nutrient availability may be immediate or gradual  
127 | (Reference). ~~For example, The~~ biochar derived from animal manure or grass and pyrolyzed at lower  
128 | temperature release more nutrients than those made from woody biomass (Mukherjee and Zimmerman,  
129 | 2013). Over 50% of total K in biochar is water soluble and readily bio-available. Thus, biochar can be a  
130 | good source of K for crop uptake, especially in organic farming (Martinsen *et al.*, 2014; Butnan *et al.*,  
131 | 2015). For example, an increase of 7% in N mineralization was obtained when 5% of a wheat straw  
132 | biochar was mixed with a sandy loam soil, while a 43% reduction was resulted from the application of the  
133 | same feedstock but fast pyrolyzed biochar after 65 days of incubation (Bruun *et al.*, 2012). Similarly, the  
134 | direct contribution of N from biochar has a mixed result, particularly in terms of plant responses (Gul and  
135 | Whalen, 2016).

### 136 | **2.3. Effect on soil biological properties**

138 | The porous structure of biochar, its large internal surface area, and its high capacity to retain water  
139 | provide favorable habitats for soil biota (Jaafar *et al.*, 2015). Bacteria (size 0.3–3 mm) and hyphae (<16  
140 | µm) of different fungi can colonize biochar macro-pores (sizes of 2 mm-2 µm are common) and avoid  
141 | predators, such as mites and nematodes (Ezawa *et al.*, 2002; Jaafar *et al.*, 2015). In addition, water is  
142 | essential to all living organisms, and its presence in biochar pores would enhance the microbial

Comment [HP11]: Cite reference for this statement.

143 habitability (Batista *et al.*, 2018). Increased enzyme activity of dehydrogenase,  $\beta$ -glucosidase, and urease  
144 in a red soil of China was recorded when amended with an oak wood or bamboo biochar at 0.5, 1.0, and  
145 2.0% after 372 days of incubation (Demisie *et al.*, 2014). Luo *et al.* (2018) showed that all microbial  
146 groups (Gram positive and Gram-negative bacteria, Actinobacteria and Fungi) were more abundant in the  
147 biochar treated soil after 14 months of incubation. Similar findings were reported by Gomez *et al.* (2014)  
148 in a study using four soils from the Midwest, USA, and concluded that biochar stimulated microbial  
149 activity and growth. Chen *et al.* (2013) found that gene copy numbers of bacterial 16S rRNA was  
150 increased by 28% and 64% and that of fungal 18S rRNA decreased by 35% and 46% under biochar  
151 applications of 20 and 40 t/ha, respectively, over the control in a rice. Bera (2016) reported microbial  
152 biomass carbon content in post-harvest inceptisol soil found significantly the highest with application of  
153 rice straw biochar @ 6.75 g/kg soil. A field experiment was conducted by using biochar prepared from  
154 *Dalbergia sissoo* wood by brick batch process revealed that application of 100% recommended dose of  
155 fertilizer (RDF) +12 t/ha biochar gave significantly the highest soil microbial biomass carbon in loamy  
156 soil (Chaudhary *et al.*, 2016). Pandian *et al.* (2016) stated that application of biochar from various sources  
157 and rate in sandy loam soil significantly improved bacteria activity in soil as compared to control.

#### 159 **2.4. Role in climate change mitigation**

160 Climate change is one of the chief intimidations to agriculture in the vicinity of futures. Its most  
161 apparent effects would be on temperature, precipitation, insect pest and pathogen, weeds soil and water  
162 quality. It observed that agricultural activities contribute 25% greenhouses gas emission and major source  
163 of methane (48%) and nitrous oxide (52%) from rice fields. Therefore, strong action should be carried out  
164 to reduce emissions and increase removal of greenhouse gasses from the atmosphere. Biochar has been  
165 described as a possible means to sequester carbon to mitigate climate change. Biochar counteract climate  
166 change problems by the following two key ways. Firstly, by its molecular structure, ~~in~~ which is  
167 chemically and biologically more stable than the original carbon form, which making it more difficult to  
168 be converted back to CO<sub>2</sub>, meaning it can store carbon for a long time. Most recently, Woolf *et al.* (2010)  
169 predicted that sustainable biochar systems could amount to net avoided emissions of up to 1.8 Gt CO<sub>2</sub>-Ce  
170 a year, for total net avoided emissions of 130 Gt CO<sub>2</sub>-Ce over 100 years. Secondly, biochar in soil change  
171 emissions of other greenhouse gases from soil such as nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) or methane (CH<sub>4</sub>). A three  
172 years trail by Rondon *et al.* (2005) on soybean crop was found 50 % reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O emission from the  
173 soil, when biochar applied at the rate of 20 t/ha compared to control. Spoaks *et al.* (2009) found that N<sub>2</sub>O  
174 emission significantly reduced at the higher rate (20 - 60 t/ha) of biochar. Yami *et al.* (2007) observed  
175 that ~~N<sub>2</sub>O~~ N<sub>2</sub>O emission was dependent on soil moisture content. The water pore space filled up to 78%  
176 reduced the emissions of by 89% when biochar was added, compared to the control. Conversely, when  
177 the soil pore space filled by water up to 83%, reduced N<sub>2</sub>O emission nearly by 50% when biochar  
178 incorporated.

Comment [HP12]: Spell out as appear first in text; See the correction made for accuracy of spelling out abbreviation.

Comment [HP13]: Reference??

179  
180 **3. CONCLUSION**

181 Soil organic matter and fertility status of Indian soils are declining over the decades because of  
182 climatic variability and imbalances in fertilization practices. Improving the soil health, nutrient status, and  
183 productivity of crops in India is a critical need for ensuring sustainable agricultural development in India.  
184 Therefore, biochar has the potential to improve soil CEC, soil acidity, microbial biomass, carbon  
185 sequestrations, mitigate greenhouse gases emissions, and remediate heavy metals toxicities, which in turn  
186 provides favorable growing environments for crops. Application of biochar from crop residue can also  
187 offer additional carbon negative benefits through avoiding burning in fields and bio resource recycling,  
188 however, these mechanisms remain unclear. Only few studies have investigated the effects of biochar on  
189 plant nutrition and yield under field conditions. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms and  
190 exploitation of biochar remains challenging.

191  
192 **4. REFERENCE**

- 193 Batista, E.; Shultz, J.; Matos, T. and Fornari, M. (2018). Effect of surface and porosity of biochar on water  
194 holding capacity aiming indirectly at preservation of the Amazon biome. *Scientific Reports* 2018,  
195 10677, pp. 9.
- 196 Bera, T.; Collins, H.; Alva, A.; Purakayastha, T. and Patra, A. (2016). Biochar and manure effluent effects  
197 on soil biochemical properties under corn production. *Applied Soil Ecology*, Vol.107, pp. 360-  
198 367.
- 199 Bruun, E. W.; Ambus, P.; Egsgaard, H. and Hauggaard-Nielsen, H. (2012). Effects of slow and fast  
200 pyrolysis biochar on soil C and N turnover dynamics. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, Vol.46, pp.  
201 73–79.
- 202 Butnan, S.; Deenik, J. L.; Toomsan, B.; Antal, M. J. and Vityakon, P. (2015). Biochar characteristics and  
203 application rates affecting corn growth and properties of soils contrasting in texture and  
204 mineralogy. *Geoderma*, Vol.237-238, pp. 105–116.
- 205 Case, S.; McNamara, N. P.; Reay, D. and Whitaker, J. (2012). The effect of biochar addition on N<sub>2</sub>O and  
206 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from a sandy loam soil: The role of soil aeration. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*,  
207 Vol.51, pp. 125-134.
- 208 Chaudhry, U. K.; Shahzad, S.; Muhammad, N. N.; Saboor, A.; Muhammad, S. and Sana, Y. (2016).  
209 Integration of biochar and chemical fertilizer to enhance quality of soil and wheat crop (*Triticum*  
210 *aestivum* L.). *Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences*, Vol.9, No.1, pp. 348-358.
- 211 Chen, W.; Meng, J.; Han, X.; Lan, Y. and Zhang, W. (2013). Past, present, and future of biochar. *Biochar*  
212 Vol.1, pp. 75–87.
- 213 Demisie, W.; Lu, Z. and Zhang, M. (2014). Effect of biochar on carbon fractions and enzyme activity of  
214 red soil. *Catena* Vol.121, pp. 214-221.

**Comment [HP14]:** Sufficient;  
Write some statement on biochar preparation  
process and modification in it due to different  
factors;  
Give the future thrust area as per author opinion  
and based on the review of research work  
presented in the study.

**Comment [HP15]:** Strictly follow the guidelines  
of Journal and crosscheck reference in the  
text and list.

215 Duong, V. T.; Khanh, N. M.; Nguyen, N. T. H.; Phi, N. N.; Duc, N. T.; Duong and Hoa, X. O. (2017).  
216 Impact of biochar on the water holding capacity and moisture of basalt and grey soil. *Journal of*  
217 *Science*, Vol.7, No.1, pp. 36-43.

218 Ezawa, T.; Yamamoto, K. and Yoshida, S. (2002). Enhancement of the effectiveness of indigenous  
219 *arbuscular mycorrhizal* fungi by inorganic soil amendments. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*,  
220 Vol.48, pp. 897-910.

221 Fidel, R. B.; Laird, D.; Thompson, M. L. and Lawrinenko, M. (2017). Characterization and quantification  
222 of biochar alkalinity. *Chemosphere*, Vol.167, pp. 367-373.

223 Glaser, B.; Lehmann, J. and Zech, W. (2002). Ameliorating physical and chemical properties of highly  
224 weathered soils in the tropics with charcoal: A Review. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, Vol.35, pp.  
225 219-230.

226 Gomez, J. D.; Deneff, K.; Stewart, C. E.; Zheng, J. and Cotrufo, M. F. (2014). Biochar addition rate  
227 influences soil microbial abundance and activity in temperate soils. *Europe Journal of Soil*  
228 *Science*, Vol.65, No.1, pp. 28-39.

229 Gowthami, B.; Murathy, P.; Rao, S. and Rekha, M. (2019). Effect of biochar on soil quality index in red  
230 sandy loam soils under rabi groundnut crop of north coastal Andhra Pradesh. *The Pharma*  
231 *Innovation Journal*, Vol.8, No.11, pp. 171-175.

232 Gul, S. and Whalen, J. K. (2016). Biochemical cycling of nitrogen and phosphorus in biochar-amended  
233 soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, Vol.103, pp. 1-15.

234 Ippolito, J. A.; Spokas, K. A.; Novak, J. M.; Lentz, R. D. and Cantrell, K. B. (2015). Biochar elemental  
235 composition and factors influencing nutrient retention. In: Lehmann J, Joseph S (eds) *Biochar*  
236 *environmental management*. Routledge, New York, pp. 130-163.

237 Jaafar, N. M.; Clode, P. L. and Abbott, L. K. (2015). Soil microbial responses to biochars varying in  
238 particle size, surface and pore properties. *Pedosphere* Vol.25, No.5, pp. 770-780.

239 Khalid, A.; Elzobair; Mary, S.; James, A. and Lentz, R. (2016). Contrasting effects of biochar versus  
240 manure on soil microbial communities and enzyme activities in an Aridisol. *Chemosphere*,  
241 Vol.14, No.1, pp. 1-8.

242 Laird, D. A.; Fleming, P.; Davis, D. D.; Horton, R.; Wang, B. and Karlen, D. L. (2010). Impact of biochar  
243 amendments on the quality of a typical midwestern agricultural soil. *Core*, Vol.158, pp. 443-449

244 Liu, Z.; Chen, X.; Jing, Y.; Li, Q.; Zhang, J. and Huang, Q. (2014). Effects of biochar amendment on  
245 rapeseed and sweet potato yields and water stable aggregate in upland red soil. *Life, Earth and*  
246 *Medical Sciences*, Vol.123, pp. 45-51.

247 Luo, Y.; Dungait, J. A.; Zhao, X.; Brookes, P. C.; Durenkamp, M.; Li, G. and Lin, Q. (2018). Pyrolysis  
248 temperature during biochar production alters its subsequent utilization by microorganisms in an  
249 acid arable soil. *Land Degrad Dev* Vol.29, No.7, pp. 2183-2188.

250 Mandal, S.; Verma, B.; Ramkrushna, G.; Singh, R. and Rajkhowa, D. (2015). Characterization of biochar  
251 obtained from weed and its effect on soil properties of north eastern region of India. *Journal of*  
252 *Environmental Biology*, Vol.36, No.2, pp. 499-505.

253 Martinsen, V.; Mulder, J.; Shitumbanuma, V.; Sparrevik, M. and Borresen, T. (2014). Farmer-led maize  
254 biochar trials: effect on crop yield and soil nutrients under conservation farming. *Journal of Plant*  
255 *Nutrition and Soil Science*, Vol.177, No.5, pp. 681-695.

256 Mukherjee, A. and Lal, R. (2017). Biochar and soil characteristics. In: Lal R (ed) Encyclopedia of soil  
257 science, 3rd edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 184-188

258 Mukherjee, A. and Zimmerman, A. R. (2013). Organic carbon and nutrient release from a range of  
259 laboratory-produced biochars and biochar-soil mixtures. *Geoderma*, Vol.193-194, pp. 122-130

260 Mukherjee, A.; Zimmerman, A. R.; Hamdan, H. and Cooper, W. T. (2014). Physicochemical changes in  
261 pyrogenic organic matter (biochar) after 15 months of field aging. *Solid Earth*, Vol.5, pp. 693-  
262 704.

263 Pandian, K.; Subramaniyan, P.; Gnasekaran, P. and Chitraputhirapilli, S. (2016). Effect of biochar  
264 amendment on soil physical, chemical and biological properties and groundnut yield in rainfed  
265 Alfisol of semi-arid tropics. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, Vol.62, No.9, pp. 1293-1310.

266 Patel, P. (2019). Studies on irrigation scheduling, nitrogen level and cotton stalk biochar in summer maize  
267 under south Gujarat condition. M. Sc. Thesis, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari (Gujarat).

268 Rajakumar, R. and Sankar, S. (2019). Effect of biochar on improving soil properties of Ultisols (Typic  
269 Plinthustults). *Environment and Ecology*, Vol.37, No.4A, pp. 1336-1342.

270 Rondon, M.; Ramirez, J. A. and Lehmann, J. (2005). Charcoal additions reduce net emissions of  
271 greenhouse gases to the atmosphere. In: Proceedings of the 3rd USDA Symposium on  
272 Greenhouse Gases and Carbon Sequestration, Baltimore, USA, March 21–24, 2005, pp, 208.

273 Sohi, S. E.; Krull, E.; Lopez-Capel and Bol R. (2010). A review of biochar and its use and function in soil.  
274 *Advance in Agronomy*, Vol.105, pp. 47-82.

275 Spokas, K. A.; Koskinen, W. C.; Baker, J. M. and Reicosky, D. C. (2009). Impacts of wood chip biochar  
276 additions on greenhouse gas production and sorption/degradation of two herbicides in a  
277 Minnesota soil. *Chemosphere*, Vol.77, pp. 574- 581.

278 Steiner, C.; Teixeira, W. G.; Lehmann, J.; Nehls, T.; MaceDo, J. L.; Blum, E. H. and Zech, W. (2007).  
279 Long term effects of manure, charcoal and mineral fertilization on crop production and fertility on  
280 a highly weathered central Amazonian upland soil. *Plant Soil*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-007-9193-9>.

281

282 Ullah, Z.; Muhammad, A.; Ali, Aurang and Tariq, Z. (2018). Effect of biochar on yield and yield  
283 components in rainfed conditions. *International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research*,  
284 Vol.12, No.3, pp. 46-51.

- 285 Wakjira, T. (2018). Effect of biochar in soil chemical and biological property and mitigating climate  
286 change: review. *Civil and Environmental Research*, Vol.10, No.1, pp. 58-61.
- 287 Wang, D.; Fonte, S. J.; Parikh, S. J.; Six, J. and Scow, K. M. (2017). Biochar additions can enhance soil  
288 structure and the physical stabilization of C in aggregates. *Geoderma*, Vol.303, pp. 110-117.
- 289 Woolf, D.; Lehmann, J. and Lee, D. R. (2010). Optimal bioenergy power generation for climate change  
290 mitigation with or without carbon sequestration. *Nature communications*, Vol.7, pp. 54-60.
- 291 Xu, R. K.; Zhao, A. Z.; Yuan, J. H. and Jiang, Y. (2012). pH buffering capacity of acid soils from tropical  
292 and subtropical regions of China as influenced by incorporation of crop straw biochars. *Journal of*  
293 *Soils and Sediments*, Vol.12, No.4, pp. 494-502.
- 294 Zhang, A.; Liu, Y.; Pan, G.; Hussain, Q.; Li, L. and Zhang, X. (2012). Effect of biochar amendment on  
295 maize yield and greenhouse gas emissions from a soil organic carbon poor calcareous loamy soil  
296 from central China plain. *Journal of Plant and Soil*, Vol.351, pp. 263-275.
- 297