

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_92702
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of thymoquinone and cisplatin on c-MYC, KRAS, p53 and EGFR gene expression in lung cancer cell lines
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljcti.com/index.php/JCTI/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The manuscript needs to modify the sentence that seems similar to the previous publication, especially in the material and method section. The turn-it-in PDF file was the attachment file herewith. Please check it out.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The study is interesting in bioactive compound of plant for health protection via the prevention of lung cancer. However, the introduction part seems not like a significant of the work. Authors need to give more information and give the vital study that related in the current study. Also, the vital gene in this study need to give the important point in the introduction too for their health perspective.</li><li>Please check all the minor error of typing and spelling in the whole manuscript.</li><li>Because of author use the extracted biological substance for cell treatment, why the author dose not check the toxicity of cell first? before further analysis?</li><li>Why the author uses different concentration of extracted solution (cis-platin for 200 µM and thymoquinone for 100 µM (Figure 1)) for cell testing?? In the same analysis or comparative. May the author need to clarify in the statistical analysis section for determination the significant results.</li></ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	none	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Utthapon Issara
Department, University & Country	Rajamangala University of Technology Thanyaburi, Thailand