

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Cancer and Tumor International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JCTI_92702 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effects of thymoquinone and cisplatin on c-MYC, KRAS, p53 and EGFR gene expression in lung cancer cell lines |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljcti.com/index.php/JCTI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | The information given into the manuscript is good and informative scientifically, But the manuscript is not well organized. However there are some suggestions/corrections to do in order to make the manuscript more attractive and informative. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Introduction: It's too short <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Write it with four paragraph in maximum; ➤ Avoid unnecessarily long paragraphs. Break up your paragraphs into smaller, useful units; ➤ The 1st paragraph should contain the general background of the topic; ➤ The 2nd and 3rd paragraphs should discuss previous works related to the topic; ➤ The last paragraph should Point out issues that are being addressed about the problem and the purpose of the present work. ❖ Results <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The Results section should contain only results, no discussion; ➤ You should bring back all figures from the Discussion section of the paper to below their respective paragraphs from the Results section; ➤ Make sure your graphs and tables can speak for themselves ➤ You should organize this section with subheading and do not repeat in words everything that your figures convey. You can, however, point out key findings and offer some text that complements the findings; ➤ Do not forget to number your figures. You should indicate them into the brief interpretation related to them (two sentences in maximum); ❖ Discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Make sure that your discussion is concise and informative. Do not ramble and include a great deal of unnecessary information. ➤ The order of figures should follow the discussion themes and not the sequence they were conducted; ➤ Your discussion section should answer why you obtained the observed results and also why your results are important. Do not simply restate the results. Highlight how your research contributes to the current knowledge in the field and mention the next steps or what remains. Feel free to explain why your results falsify current theories if that is the case; ➤ Discuss how your data compare with previous results and do not forget to indicate their references with number into the text; ➤ If possible add the limitations of your study in the last paragraph with a subheading. ❖ Conclusion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Write it with only one paragraph and also add the perspective of the study. | |

Review Form 1.6

| | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| | ❖ Acknowledgments ➤ Remember to thank the funding agency and colleagues/scientists/technicians who might have provided assistance. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Ndoe Guiaro Marcellin |
| Department, University & Country | Institute of Medical Research and Medicinal Plants Studies, Cameroon |