

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_93422
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Patents on Embryonic Stem Cells Research
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	We recommend numbering the chapters and adding a CONCLUSIONS chapter at the end of the work After this we recommend the publication of the paper	
Optional/General comments	<p>The paper presents a complex and extremely interesting up-to-date study regarding the contribution of the patent in embryonic stem cell research.</p> <p>The paper begins with an introduction regarding the role of the patent within intellectual and industrial property in the current context, and continues with the analysis of how the patent and innovation in general influence creativity in the biological field.</p> <p>The authors analyze the effects of overlapping research with results reflected in patent claims., these can have negative effects regarding the freedom to conduct research.</p> <p>The authors also warn about the emergence of a need to redistribute and trade some property rights between research centers and also between researchers.</p> <p>The work continues with US patent case studies that relate to stem cells.</p> <p>The study continues with the analysis of the situation in Europe, showing the different existing legislation compared to the USA. It is also mentioned the differences existing between the European legislation and the component states.</p> <p>The work is particularly interesting and useful both to researchers in the field of biotechnologies and to patent advisors.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Octavian Baltag
Department, University & Country	Romania