

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94157
Title of the Manuscript:	Intra articular corticosteroid injection in rheumatoid arthritis patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Keywords in abstract as glucocorticoids was not present in the abstract, so it should be replaced by other main keyword in the abstract. ➤ The researcher should mention the tool or questionnaire was developed by the researcher or adopted or adapted from other researcher. ➤ The researcher wrote results and discussion, where is the part of discussion?, it should be added. ➤ The researcher should add his comments regarding the results. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The researcher should determine the method of sample selection on random basis or not or he selected convenience sample without randomization. ➤ Where is the sample size formula that used to collect 30 participants? ➤ The researcher should add in the significance worldwide and national incidence rate of Rheumatoid Arthritis. ➤ The researcher may add importance (magnitude) of the study in relation to practice, education, and research. ➤ Where are the reliability scores of the research's tools. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ There were very old references (1978 &2001) in the list of references 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Heba Ahmed Mohammed Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt