

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_93917
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular Detection of blaTEM, blaCTX-M and blaSHV Genes in Extended Spectrum β-Lactamase (ESBL) Escherichia coli from clinical samples
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title should be precise. Introduction should be more precise. Objective of the study should be clearly mentioned. Scientific studies should be discussed in more detail. The discussion should be elaborate in relation to results. Gene identification should be discuss in discussion part in detail.</p> <p>and Is the current interpretation/discussion of the results reasonable and not overstated? As the discussion of the study were promising in relation to bioactive compounds study, in my opinion, the study should continue with the antibacterial activity of medicinal plants in detail. Add more recent references.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Full research articles: is there a clear objective that addresses a testable research question(s)	
Optional/General comments	The authors have failed to convey their work in a way which can be understood properly by any competent reader.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Madieha Ambreen
Department, University & Country	Minhaj University, Pakistan