

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_93721
Title of the Manuscript:	REPORTING AND INTERPRETING MEDICAL RENAL BIOPSIES – A REVIEW ARTICLE
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1- I propose to put all the tables after the conclusions (in annexe).2- Please change the title of the manuscript as “ Reporting and interpreting renal biopsies – a review article “3- Introduction : 1st sentence instead of “ For the accurate diagnosis of medical renal biopsies, renal biopsy if often essential.” Please write: For the accurate diagnosis of renal disease , renal biopsy is often essential.	
Minor REVISION comments	Adequacy of tissue sampling : 1 st line at least and not atleast	
Optional/General comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Mesquita
Department, University & Country	CHU brugmann, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium