

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_93721
Title of the Manuscript:	REPORTING AND INTERPRETING MEDICAL RENAL BIOPSIES – A REVIEW ARTICLE
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract should be expanded to summarise the differences that are found in the histopathology examination, immunohistochemistry, and electron examination as well as special staining features of various kidney nephrology lesions.</p> <p>Key words – To increase the number of key words</p> <p>To improve upon the standard of English and spelling and I have provided supporting corrections which should be shared with the authors to reflect upon and if they are happy with the changes they could incorporate the changes.</p> <p>The aim of the article should be included</p> <p>The methods should be written</p> <p>The sources of all the tables should be referenced if the tables were copied from elsewhere</p> <p>It is important to include figures of H&E sections that demonstrate features of kidney nephrology lesions</p> <p>It is important to include Immunohistochemistry and special stain features that demonstrate the diagnostic features of various kidney nephrology lesions so that readers can learn about the differences.</p> <p>It is important for the authors to provide figures that demonstrate differences in the electron microscopy examination features of various nephrology lesions</p> <p>Conflict of interest statement should be included</p> <p>Acknowledgements should be included</p> <p>The writing of the references must be consistent with only one style of reference writing</p> <p>There should not be repetition of references and corrections need to be made knowing that reference 7 had been repeated as reference 11 and after that all the 3 references should be reference 7 and the reference numbers should be changed and if this is done the total number of references so far would be 18 and the number will change if figures are included and their sources are quoted as references</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	See above	
Optional/General comments	The authors should be encouraged to revise their article	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo
Department, University & Country	North Manchester General Hospital, United Kingdom