

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_93666
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Review of Epidemiology, Pathophysiology and Treatment of Acute Decompensated Heart Failure
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Comment: We have the honor to provide you with our comments on the manuscript: Title: does not provide information on where the study was conducted The Summary: The authors did not specify the purpose of the study, the type of study, the time period and the location. The classic story writing plan was not followed: Title, Summary, Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusion. Methods: The authors did not provide information on the type of study they carried out, the study period, the location, the sample, the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the software used to process the information. Results? In the absence of information on the objectives of the study, it is difficult to assess the content of the manuscript. In conclusion, we have the impression that the author has revised the texts on epidemiological, physio pathological and therapeutic aspects of acute heart failure. Recommendation: Authors should specify the purpose of their study, following a well-structured plan of a scientific article.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Boubacar Sonfo
Department, University & Country	Université des Sciences, des Techniques et des Technologies de Bamako, Mali