

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_93461
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular classification of breast carcinoma Based on the prognostic marker- Clinico-pathological correlation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors have written a manuscript titled "Molecular classification of breast carcinoma Based on the prognostic marker- Clinico-pathological correlation". No doubt the topic is interesting still I feel incorporation of suggested comments will help in improving its quality.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Formatting can be improved. 2. Please avoid multiple references like 4,5,6 for single text. It is not necessary that all references will provide same statement. 3. Please add research gaps and motivation 4. Material and methods can be discussed in details. 5. Results can be discussed in details. 6. Please add few latest references as most of the references are old. 7. Please avoid grammatical errors. You may use Grammarly software for this. 8. Limitations and future scope of the work can be discussed. 9. Please add the major contributions or highlights of the work done. 10. Outline of the work can also be discussed in introduction section. For example section 1 deals with.... Section 2 provides... and all. 11. Figures and tables need to be discussed in details. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Please incorporate the suggested comments to improve the quality of the paper.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jyoti Dabass
Department, University & Country	Indian Institute of Technology, India