

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_93223
Title of the Manuscript:	Routine use of pressure wire as an adjunct to diagnostic angiography; comparison of resources utilization in the catheterization laboratory: A sub-study of RIPCORD 2
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment <i>SUGGESTIONS:</i>	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments TITLE RIPCORD 2 Abbreviations Table 1 Tables 2 and 3 Monetary Unit	This 'Title' seems to be quite prolix. -- Try to resume it to just the essential terms. Please, use a footnote to define this Term. - Avoid using all abbreviations without previous definitions (or footnotes)! - Ex: United Kingdom (UK). Please, avoid partitioning the Table and centralize it and all numerical pieces of information. Reduce Dimensions!! – These Tables are out of the limit of the main Text. – See Editor Norms, Please!! Observe the appropriate use the correspondent pound(s) symbol.	
Minor REVISION comments Figure 1 Line {201}... was found to direct etc Abbreviations {Suggestion!}.....	Reduce blocks dimensions and centralize specification {'Figure 1: Patients Flow Chart'}. Observe improper double spaces.... Please, avoid the excessive use of abbreviations.	
Optional/General comments General Comment	The present Original Research Article is a very important one and deserves special attention!	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jurandy Santos Nogueira
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil