

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_93148</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE <math>\alpha</math>-ANALOGUE r-WHITNEY NUMBERS VIA NORMAL ORDERING</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Articles</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lac k of N o velt y**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>This paper proposes the normal ordering of a certain quantity involving the number operator is expressed in terms of the analogues of r-Whitney numbers of the first and second kind, respectively. And derived some interesting properties, recurrence relations and several identities on those numbers from such normal ordering. However, there are many places that need improving, as listed below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The contribution should be better explained highlighted. In a paper titled "THE <math>\alpha</math>-ANALOGUE r-WHITNEY NUMBERS VIA NORMAL ORDERING," .There are still some similar papers about study various combinatorial properties of the the <math>\alpha</math>-analogue r-Whitney of the first and second kind from the algebraic properties of the boson operators of these numbers. , so the author should highlight their contribution and compare it with those references.</li> <li>2) The readability of the paper should be drastically improved.</li> <li>3) The main contribution is not well described and justified.</li> <li>4) The managerial implications should be well discussed in the application areas of the approach.</li> <li>5) Conclusion is weak.</li> </ol>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Emad Kareem Mutar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Babylon, Iraq</b>