

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_94106
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetically modified silkworm breeds ( <i>Bombyx mori</i> L.) with sexual dimorphism at the initial stage of ontogenesis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>-The abstract contains the essential elements of the study. But in the drafting of this part, it is necessary to avoid specifying in the text "Aims", "Methodology", "Conclusion". It would be necessary to remove them and make a summary that brings them out explicitly.</li><li>-At the end of the introduction, talk a bit about some of the results you obtained in your study.</li><li>-In "RESULTS AND DISCUSSION", there is not a very appropriate commentary on table 1.</li><li>-Finally, at the conclusion, the numbers should be removed and the sentences should be well connected.</li></ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>-In "Material and Methods", the writing is very clear and the methods and materials used are presented in a magnificent way.</li><li>-In the bibliographical references, there are some that are quite recent and give the study an impact of its contribution in this field.</li></ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kalifa Palm
Department, University & Country	Institut de Recherche en Sciences Appliquées et Technologies, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique et Technologique, Burkina Faso