

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_92286
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF HONEY AND GENTAMICIN AGAINST CLINICAL ISOLATES KLEBSIELLA SPECIES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Cited Reference should be in chronological order</p> <p>Kindly specify in title of table. Mm represents Zone diameter? What was the breakpoint selected on the basis of standard guideline- mention in material and method</p> <p>Part of mechanism of effect of honey on bacteria should be described in introduction section</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Double full stop, Spacing error, Please elaborate more I details about effectiveness of honey on bacteria in diff research study, <i>MacConkey agar</i>. <i>Maintain uniformity</i>, Provide list of abbreviation either in text or at the end separately</p> <p>??? difficult to interpret</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>How sample size was calculated on basis of ref literature?</p> <p>Were all the isolates from OPD or IPD patients? Did author collect information about isolates which were part of hospital acquired infection? Coz these isolates are showing more resistance.</p> <p>Repeat header on next page also</p> <p>Discussion and conclusion should be separated. Final wordings from editor is required.</p> <p>Whether any statistical test were applied for comparison?</p> <p>Whether ethical permission was obtained / statement from author about data regarding patients identity were not disclosed</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Purav Patel
Department, University & Country	Nootan Medical College and Research Centre, India