

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_94100
Title of the Manuscript:	Mechanisms of the Aqueous Solvolysis of the Ring-Opening of the Lactone Ring of Goniodomin A
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)														
Compulsory REVISION comments	The discussion part is missing. Paper requires more discussion to reach a conclusion.															
Minor REVISION comments	Some minor mistakes are in the paper which have been highlighted. Some of them are <table border="1"><tr><td>Error</td><td>Corrections</td></tr><tr><td>In</td><td>It</td></tr><tr><td>The</td><td>Delete</td></tr><tr><td>Would not be formed</td><td>Delete</td></tr><tr><td>pHs</td><td>pH</td></tr><tr><td>Chance</td><td>Change</td></tr><tr><td>The</td><td>That of the</td></tr></table>	Error	Corrections	In	It	The	Delete	Would not be formed	Delete	pHs	pH	Chance	Change	The	That of the	
Error	Corrections															
In	It															
The	Delete															
Would not be formed	Delete															
pHs	pH															
Chance	Change															
The	That of the															
Optional/General comments	Paper is suitable for publication after above corrections															

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R.K. Upadhyay
Department, University & Country	India