

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJRRGY_93236</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Hydromethanolic Fruit Pulp Extract of Azanza garckeana (Malvaceae) on Sexual Behavior and Histology of Female Wistar rats</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrrgy.com/index.php/IJRRGY/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	This is an experimental study carried out on animals that complies with the stages of the scientific method, is written in an orderly and clear manner with a good introduction, presentation of tables and figures in relation to the results obtained, discussion related to the topic that adequately contrasts the results. obtained in the study with the results of other researchers, the conclusion agrees with the results obtained in the investigation. In relation to this study, it is suggested: 1. Incorporate the objective of the study at the end of the introduction, which is expressed in the abstract but not in the body of the manuscript. 2. In the methodology it is suggested to eliminate the first part that refers to the description of the reagents and the instruments used in the experiments carried out, since they are mentioned in each stage of the experimental development with the animals. 3. Regarding the conclusion, it should be modified in the abstract, since it does not agree with the results obtained in the study and it is only an assumption of the researchers. The conclusion placed in the abstract must be consistent with the results obtained and with the one placed in the manuscript.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Eugenia Henriquez-D'Aquino</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Chile, Chile</b>