

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_93236
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Hydromethanolic Fruit Pulp Extract of Azanza garckeana (Malvaceae) on Sexual Behavior and Histology of Female Wistar rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrrgy.com/index.php/IJRRGY/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	"Thirty-seven (37), age between 2-3 months (110-140 g) female Wistar rats and five (5) sexually experienced male Wistar rats (200-300 g) were used for the research." in the sentence thirty seven must be twenty five. In the method part, information about phytochemical analysis should be given. Methodology: write scientifically In the discussion, "The significant increase in estradiol may be the result of the increase in gonadotropin released by the male Wistar rats by direct stimulation of the accessory sex organs." The accuracy of the statement should be questioned. Because men are also used in the normal control group. In my opinion, it is not appropriate to evaluate the findings obtained with the findings obtained from different plants. Interpretation should be in a scientific fix style.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atilla Yıldız
Department, University & Country	Firat University, Turkey