

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_94038</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparative analysis on impact of different mulches on growth and yield parameters of mulberry under rainfed condition of Poonch district of Jammu and Kashmir</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Need consistent line spacing and font size throughout the document.            Label the "control" treatment like the others; ex. "T-4".            State the P-value used to analyse the data. Ex. P&lt;0.05.            What are the application rates of each treatment?            Table 1 needs to be reformatted. The way it's presented now is unacceptable. You may be able to create several tables out of this.            Include a picture of the control treatment in Figure 1 (this was done in Figure 5).            Consult the journal template to see how they want their tables formatted.            Figures 6, 7, 8, and 13 need to be reformatted to clearly show values.            Stick to one design for all of the figures.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Grammatical issues throughout the paper need to be addressed.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This is a very interesting paper and well written. The authors have evidently spent a great deal of time with both the experiment and the subsequent paper. With a few formatting and other changes, this paper should be ready for publication.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>James Burke</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Arkansas, United States of America</b>