

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_93933
Title of the Manuscript:	Photosynthetic activity, nutrient concentration in leaves and soil properties altered by long term INM practices of knol khol (<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>gongylodes</i> L.) under acidic Inceptisols
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No apply.	
Minor REVISION comments	No apply.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is about an experimental design applied for addition of varied nutrient formulations on knol khol crop plants. It is an interesting applied Research work that merits to be published; however please consider the following comments and suggestions: i) please check the definition of the abbreviations before use them. ii) Introduction could be improved. It is too short. iii) In material and methods there is missing procedures such as clean up of leaves and extractions. iv) In the section of Results it is suggested to add introduction before show values determined, to then show results, describe them and finally conclude with a short summary; but not at the place begin with this summary. Check the redaction. v) Please consider to add references and discussion in the section of Results. vi) Check, it is missing a Conclusion and Discussion section. Verify sections in the Author Guidelines. vii) table captios are missing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guillermo Bracamonte
Department, University & Country	National University of Cordoba (UNC), Argentine