

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_93924
Title of the Manuscript:	STATISTICAL ASSESSMENT OF VARIABILITY OF RAINFALL AND CANAL WATER ON THE PRODUCTIVITY OF MAJOR CROPS ATTAINED UNDER TELUGU GANGA PROJECT COMMAND IN ANDHRA PRADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Comments (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlight <i>that part in the manuscript</i> . It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article requires refinement by making the results and discussion with proper reorganization by including proper interpretations supported with recent references and triangulations with the work of others. instead of putting results alone, the article needs to interpret the data analysed using different methods as well as the model. Repeating the data of the table with that of the figure seems to make the paper e bit boring.	
Minor REVISION comments	Incorporate all comments and suggestions included in the main document through comments in the review. In the materials and methods, there is a need to incorporate the formulae with proper references and criteria of interpretations (though they are known, like CV) and others. it also required serious language and content editions to make the paper more attractive and lucrative to the readers.	
Optional/General comments	The abstract needs to be refined more than this by including an introductory background statement before the aim of the study as well as summary of recommendations at the end of it.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight <i>that part in the manuscript</i> . It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> I guess there is a need to acknowledge the data sources and that of the reviewers as well as the editors and the publishers in the acknowledgements section.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Solomon Tekalign Demissie
Department, University & Country	Haramaya University, Ethiopia