

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_93909
Title of the Manuscript:	Genotype × Environment interaction and stability analysis for grain yield and yield related traits in little millet (<i>Panicum sumatrense</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Revise the sentence "In India, little millet having 1.42 lakh tones of production." on page 1. It is not structured correctly.</p> <p>More information is required on the origin of the 50 little millet genotypes, and how they are related. Were they collected, are they progeny from a cross, etc.</p> <p>Table 1 and Table 2 should be swopped around and their table number changed.</p> <p>No results are presented for the following parameters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> hulling (%) chlorophyll content (mg/100g fresh weight), leaf area (cm²), protein content (%) crude fiber (%) mineral matter (mg/100g), iron content (mg/100g), calcium content (mg/100g) and ash content (mg/100g) <p>These results should be included else a complete representation is not available.</p> <p>Fix column spacing in Table 4.</p> <p>No reference is made in the text to table 3, 4, 5 and 7.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Reference is requested to the "recommended practices" on page 2.</p> <p>Discussions of other studies genotypes stand unintegrated to the results of this study with other genotypes.</p>	
Optional/General comments	Various small language and typographical errors were corrected in track changes (manuscript attached).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Inge Gazendam
Department, University & Country	South Africa