

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_93812
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Ergonomic Assessment of Manually Operated Modified Linseed Crop Thresher
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Under the introduction part, one table for linseed production, productivity and area of the state and the country for last few years should be included in the paper. Nomenclatures of different parts of the machine in Fig.1 are not visible clearly. The picture in Fig.1 should be replaced with good one so that everyone can read the nomenclatures easily. The Figures such as Fig.1, Fig.2, Fig.3 and Fig.4 have not been mentioned anywhere in the paper. Mention the Figures properly at proper places in the paper. One table of detailed specifications of the developed machine should be included under materials and methods. The equations written in the paper should be designated by a particular number. None of the equations have been numbered. Also maintain the proper font size of the equations. Economic analysis of the machine should be included in the paper. Write the full form of the abbreviations VIAET and SHUATS atleast once in the paper. The references have not been written in a proper format. Write the references in a proper (same) format. Author(s) should go through the guidelines of the journal and write the references. Author(s) should go through the guidelines of the journal for writing the paper. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Er. Sunil Kumar
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, India