

## Original Research Article

### **Studies on various levels of organic sources and major nutrients on growth and yield of sprouting broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica* Plenck)**

#### **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was carried out at the Vegetable Research Farm, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur (U.P.) during Rabi season 2021-22. The experiment consisted of twenty-four treatment combinations of six sources of organic manure and four levels of major nutrients. The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design with replicated three times. Palam samridhi variety of broccoli was transplanted at spacing of 45x45 cm. Results of the experiment revealed that the growth characters of broccoli like plant height (cm), number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>, plants spread, stem diameter and Yield attributes viz total head yield per plot (kg) and total head yield (q/ha) were significantly higher under M<sub>6</sub> (FYM 20t + Vermicompost 5 t + Poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) source of manure which was at par with application of M<sub>4</sub> (Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) whereas in case of major nutrients it was significantly higher under application of (140:80:80 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) but it was at par with (120:60:60 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) and significantly superior to rest sources of organic manure.

**Keywords:** Sprouting Broccoli, Nutrients, growth attributes, yield

## Introduction

In India, broccoli farming is a boon for the rural economy. It is a cool season crop and can be grown in spring season. It is rich source of nutrition such as iron, calcium and vitamins. The crop contains 3.3% of protein content and high content of vitamin A and C. (Anonymous, 2022). Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica* L.) is a popular vegetable which belongs to the family Cruciferae. Broccoli is derived from the Latin word *brachium* and the Italian term broccoli, both of which imply "arm" or "branch." It is often divided into three groups: white, purple, and green, with the green form being the most nutritious. Morphologically, it is similar to cauliflower, with the exception of secondary heads that form in the leaf axils. Humans eat the terminal head as well as the sprouts with bud clusters. These heads are green, purple, and white in colour, and their wild form can be found throughout the Mediterranean region. It is eaten raw, half-boiled, in soup with other vegetables' juices, and cooked as a single or mixed vegetable with potato. In India, specific data on sprouting broccoli for area and production are unavailable. It is mostly grown in Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Jammu and Kashmir, Nilgiri Hills, and the Northern Plains of India. It is becoming increasingly popular in India. Appropriate fertilization may ensure lucrative and high-quality crops, as well as the use of the right amount and combination of fertilizers to boost agricultural output. Despite its relevance, broccoli nutrition management has received little attention in our country. The purpose of this investigation / Research is to design growth and yield regimes for broccoli production utilizing both organic and major nutrients.

Comment [DMCK1]: The background of the experiment should be highlighted more.

## Materials and methods

An experiment was conducted at Vegetable Research Farm of Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur (U.P.) during *Rabi* season 2021-22. The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design with 3 replications. The soil was sandy loam with organic carbon 0.34%, available N 152.0 kg/ha, phosphorus 14.76 kg/ha and potassium 180.0 kg/ha at initiation of experiment. The broccoli variety Palam *samridhi* was used in the experiment, which is an early-maturity variety and takes around 70 days from transplanting to first harvesting. Twenty-four treatment combinations of six sources of organic manure and four levels of major nutrients.

Comment [DMCK2]: Is it one-year field experiment?

Comment [DMCK3]: Write it as Samridhi.

Organic sources  
2

i.e. M<sub>1</sub>: Farm Yard Manure (20t/ha), M<sub>2</sub>: Vermicompost (5t/ha), M<sub>3</sub>: Poultry Manure (5 t/ha), M<sub>4</sub>: Farm Yard Manure + Vermicompost (20 t/ha + 5 t/ha), M<sub>5</sub>: Farm Yard Manure + Poultry Manure (20t/ha+5t/ha), M<sub>6</sub>: Farm Yard Manure + Vermicompost + Poultry Manure (20 t/ha + 5 t/ha + 5 t/ha) and major nutrients i.e. F<sub>0</sub>: Control, F<sub>1</sub>: 80 N + 40 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 40 K<sub>2</sub>O, F<sub>2</sub>: 120 N + 60 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 60 K<sub>2</sub>O, F<sub>3</sub>: 140 N + 80 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 80 K<sub>2</sub>O. The crop was transplanted in plots size of 1.8m X 1.8m with a spacing of 45cm between rows and 45cm between plants.

Organic manures were applied at the time of field preparation as per treatment. All remaining other recommended cultural practices were followed to raise healthy crop.

**Comment [DMCK4]:** Date of transplanting and harvesting should be given. Give details about irrigation schedule.

## Results and Discussion:

### Growth and yield attributes

Data recorded on growth and yield attributes viz; plant height, plant spread, stem diameter, number of leaves per plant as influenced by different levels of organic sources and major nutrients clearly indicated that it increases significantly by the application of organic sources of FYM 20 t/ha + Vermi-compost 5t/ha + 5t/ha Poultry manure and 140:80:80 kg NPK/ha as compared to other doses of application. Maximum number of leaves i.e. 14.15 per plant was noted treatment M<sub>6</sub> (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was on par with treatment M<sub>4</sub> (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and significantly superior to rest organic sources. The among organic sources M<sub>6</sub> (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) being at par with M<sub>4</sub> (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced significantly taller plant than rest of organic sources. Minimum height was noted under M<sub>1</sub> (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatment. Maximum stem diameter i.e. 5.13 cm was noted treatment M<sub>6</sub> (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was on par with treatment M<sub>4</sub> (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and significantly superior to rest organic sources. Least diameter of stem was associated with M<sub>1</sub> (FYM @ 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Lowest diameter of stem was associated with M<sub>1</sub> (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). The highest plant spread of 61.20 cm was obtained with M<sub>6</sub> (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was at par with treatment M<sub>4</sub> (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and significantly superior to other organic sources. However, the plant spread was recorded with M<sub>1</sub> FYM (20 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was 54.78 cm. The maximum spread was obtained of 60.27 cm with F<sub>3</sub> (140 + 80 + 80 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was at par with F<sub>2</sub> (120 + 60 + 60 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>)

**Comment [DMCK5]:** Why? Give a reason with supporting reference.

<sup>1</sup>) and significantly superior to over rest treatments. However, the lowest plant spread of 54.37 cm was recorded with F<sub>0</sub> (0+0+0kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) nutrient level. The role of appropriate combination of organic sources viz., FYM 210t/ha + Vermi compost 5t/ha + Poultry manure 5t/ha + Poultry manure 5t/ha and 140 kg + 80 kg NPK/ha on increase of plant height, plantspread and stem diameter have been confirmed by Renand *et al.*, 2014, Mehdi *et al.*, 2018, Burhan and Al-Taey 2018 and Hamza and Al-Taey 2020.

Comment [DMCK6]: Correct it.

Comment [DMCK7]: Rewrite the sentence.

Table-1: Effect of organic sources and major nutrients on plant growth parameters

Treatments	Number of leaf Plant <sup>-1</sup>	Plant height (cm)	Diameter of stem (cm)	Plant spread
<b>Organic sources</b>				
M <sub>1</sub> FYM (20t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	12.30	48.93	4.43	54.23
M <sub>2</sub> Vermicompost (5t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	13.10	52.38	4.73	57.78
M <sub>3</sub> Poultry manure (5t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	12.50	49.70	4.45	54.78
M <sub>4</sub> (FYM 20t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	13.90	55.53	5.03	60.43
M <sub>5</sub> (FYM 20t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Poultry manure 5t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	13.40	53.48	4.83	59.23
M <sub>6</sub> (FYM 20t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Poultry manure 5t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	14.15	56.68	5.13	61.20
<b>SEm(+)</b>	<b>0.26</b>	<b>1.13</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>1.18</b>
<b>CD(P=0.05)</b>	<b>0.74</b>	<b>3.23</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>3.36</b>
<b>Major nutrients</b>				
F <sub>0</sub> (0+0+0kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> ) Control	12.32	49.25	4.45	54.37
F <sub>1</sub> (80+40+40 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	12.90	51.38	4.63	57.55
F <sub>2</sub> (120+60+60kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	13.75	54.97	4.93	59.57
F <sub>3</sub> (140+80+80kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	13.93	55.52	5.03	60.27
<b>SEm(+)</b>	<b>0.21</b>	<b>0.92</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>0.96</b>
<b>CD(P=0.05)</b>	<b>0.61</b>	<b>2.63</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>2.74</b>

Comment [DMCK8]: Unit?

### Marketable head yield:

The data assembled on total marketable head yield kg plot<sup>-1</sup> of broccoli was recorded. The sources was noted on marketable head yield kg plot<sup>-1</sup> of broccoli and maximum marketable head yield kg plot<sup>-1</sup> of broccoli i.e. 6.46 kg was obtained with M<sub>6</sub> (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was at par with treatment M<sub>4</sub> (FYM 20t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which recorded 6.33 kg plant weight and these were significantly superior to other organic sources. However, minimum marketable head yield kg plot<sup>-1</sup> was recorded with M<sub>1</sub> FYM (20 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was 5.80 kg. Application of different doses of major nutrient showed significant effect on the marketable head yield kg plot<sup>-1</sup> of broccoli. Maximum marketable head yield kg plot<sup>-1</sup> of 6.40 kg was obtained with F<sub>3</sub> (140+80+80kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was at par with F<sub>2</sub> (120+60+60 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) and significantly superior to over rest treatments. However, the lowest marketable

head yield kg plot<sup>-1</sup> of 5.78 kg was recorded with F<sub>0</sub> (0+0+0 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) nutrient level. Marked influence of organic sources was noted on marketable head yield of broccoli and maximum marketable head yield of broccoli i.e. 199.36 q ha<sup>-1</sup> g was obtained with M<sub>6</sub> (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was on par with treatment M<sub>4</sub> (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which recorded 195.51 q yield and these were significantly superior to other organic sources. However, minimum marketable head yield was recorded with M<sub>1</sub> FYM (20 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was 178.89 q ha<sup>-1</sup>. Application of different doses of major nutrients showed significant effect on the marketable yield of broccoli. Maximum marketable yield of 197.38 q ha<sup>-1</sup> was obtained with F<sub>3</sub> (140+80+80 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was at par with F<sub>2</sub> (120+60+60 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) and significantly superior to over rest treatments. However, the lowest marketable yield of 177.54 q ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded with F<sub>0</sub> (0+0+0 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) nutrient level. The production of significantly higher level of marketable head yield has been achieved by the cumulative combination of average head weight size of head and head compactness. This significant effect of higher level of application of FYM 210 t/ha + Vermi compost 5 t/ha + Poultry manure 5 t/ha + Poultry manure 5 t/ha and 140 kg + 80 kg NPK/ha in achieving higher level of marketable head yield (190 q/ha) which might be obtained due to higher yield attributing characters viz., average head weight, head size and head compactness. Similar observations were also recorded by Biswas *et al.*, 2021, Singh *et al.*, 2021 and Tarafder *et al.*, 2022.

Comment [DMCK9]: Is it 210 t/ha or 20 t/ha?

Table-2: Effect of organic sources and major nutrients on yield attributes of Broccoli.

Treatments	Marketable head yield (kg plot <sup>-1</sup> )	Marketable head yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>Organic sources</b>		
M <sub>1</sub> FYM (20 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	5.80	178.89
M <sub>2</sub> Vermicompost (5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	6.21	191.69
M <sub>3</sub> Poultry manure (5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	5.91	182.54
M <sub>4</sub> (FYM 20 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	6.33	195.51
M <sub>5</sub> (FYM 20 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Poultry manure 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	6.03	186.19
M <sub>6</sub> (FYM 20 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Poultry manure 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	6.46	199.36
<b>SEm(+)</b>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>3.71</b>
<b>CD(P=0.05)</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>10.57</b>
<b>Major nutrients</b>		
F <sub>0</sub> (0+0+0 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> ) Control	5.78	177.54
F <sub>1</sub> (80+40+40 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	6.01	185.42
F <sub>2</sub> (120+60+60 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	6.21	191.77
F <sub>3</sub> (140+80+80 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	6.40	197.38

<b>SEm(±)</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>3.03</b>
<b>CD(P=0.05)</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>8.63</b>

## Conclusion

On the basis of results obtained from the present investigation, it can be concluded that among organic sources, M<sub>6</sub> (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Poultry manure 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and a dose of F<sub>3</sub> (140+80+80 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) major nutrients proved to be the most suitable for commercial cultivation under Kanpur conditions.

Comment [DMCK10]: of which crop?

## References

1. Anonymous, (2022) Broccoli- Apni- Kheti, <https://apnikheti.com> website.
2. Hamza, O. M., and AL-Taey, D. K. A. (2020), A study on the effect of Glutamic acid and benzyl adenine application upon growth and yield parameters and active components of two broccoli hybrids. *Int. J. Agricult. Stat. Sci.*, 16(2): 1163-1167.
1. Mehedi, M. N. H., Mohosina, F., Khanom, A., Ali, M. I., and Peda, M. N. (2018), Effects of organic manures on the growth and yield of broccoli. *Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural Research*, 35(1): 47-50.
3. Singh, D., Rajiv, R., and Kumari, M. (2021), Integrated nutrient management in broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*). *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 91(11) 1627-30.
4. Biswas, A., Upadhyay, D., and Rathiya, P. S. (2021), Effect of inorganic fertilizer and organic manures on growth and yield of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*) cv. Palam Samridhi at Northern Hill of Chhattisgarh. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 10(10): 1000-1003.
5. Burhan, A. K. and D. K. A. Al-Taey (2018), Effect of Potassium humate, humic acid and compost of rice waste in the growth and yield of two hybrids of Dill under salt stress conditions. *Advances in Natural and Applied Sciences*, 12(11): 1-6.
6. Choudhary, S., Soni, A. K. and Jat, N. K. (2012), Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on growth, yield and quality of sprouting broccoli cv. CBH-1. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 69(4): 550-554
7. Kayesh, E., Sharker, M. S., Roni, M. S., & Sarker, U. (2019), Integrated nutrient management for growth, yield and profitability of broccoli. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, 44(1), 13-26.
8. Renand, E. N. C., E. T. Lammerts van Bueren, J. R. Myers, M. J. Paulo, F. A. Van Eeuwijk,

N. Jhu and J.A. Juvik (2014), Variation in Broccoli cultivar Phytochemical content under organic and conventional management system, *Implications in Breeding for nutrition Plos one*, 9(7): 1-16.

9. Srichandan, S., Mangaraj, A. K., Mohanty, A., Behera, K. K., & Panda, D.(2015), Influence of organic and inorganic fertilizer on nutrient content in broccoli(Brassicaoleraceavar.italica).*PlantArchives*,15(2),1127-1130.
10. Tarafder, S. K., Biswas, M., Alim, M. A., Mondal, A. B., and Alam, M. (2022).Effects of Organic and Inorganic Sources of Nutrient on Yield, Quality and Shelf Life of Broccoli. *Current Research in Agricultural Sciences*,9(1),44-58.

UNDER PEER REVIEW