

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_93752
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of cowpea variety Kasha Kanchan under Front Line Demonstration in Eastern Part of Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In conclusion (both in the abstract and at the end of the article), the statement "From the results of frontline demonstration it could be revealed that the yield and income of the cowpea growers were significantly increased by the cultivation of improved variety along with improved crop management practices" may be revised as "From the results of frontline demonstration it could be <u>revealed</u> (use the word <u>observed</u>) that the yield and income of the cowpea growers were <u>significantly</u> (delete the word <u>significantly</u> as no statistical technique was used) increased by the cultivation of improved variety along with improved crop management practices".	
Minor REVISION comments	There are few typographical mistakes that may be corrected: In Abstract, the improved variety of produced (delete "of" after variety and in 3.1 Interpretations growth and yield attributes the sub-title may be revised as Interpretations <u>of</u> growth and yield attributes .	
Optional/General comments	The Research article is good and it may be published with the few corrections pointed out.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J.Vasanthakumar
Department, University & Country	Annamalai University, India