

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_93667
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF DIFFERENT VARIETY OF CORIANDER (<i>Coriandrum sativum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Include the references. A major revision is needed. Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rephrasing of the information and inclusion of the references/sources is recommended. Avoid repetition of information. The same contents of paragraphs must merge into one. Materials and methods Detailed or brief information on how did the parameters gathered is needed. The sources/references are strongly needed to include. Results and discussion The different parameters can be divided accordingly, i.e., growth, yield, and quality. Remove the column, Notation. Differences (letter intonation) must be included. Statistical analysis should be considered and apply appropriately. <p>Conclusion and Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conflicting of the best variety. In Abstract V5 is the suitable, but in the Conclusion is different. Revision of the Conclusion and Abstract is strongly recommended. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raymund Julius G. Rosales
Department, University & Country	Mariano Marcos State University, Philippines