

Effect of Integrated Nutrients Management on Nutrients Content and Uptake of Forage Sorghum

Abstract:

The field study was “Effect of integrated nutrients management on nutrients content and uptake of forage sorghum” was carried out during summer season at Main Forage Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat. Result showed that treatment T6 (100-40 N-P kg ha⁻¹ + *Azospirillum*) recorded higher NPK content and uptake in plants. Significantly higher content N (1.56 %) and P (0.25 %) and was remained at par with treatment T3 N (1.39 %) and P T₅ (0.24 %) T₉ (0.24%), T₁₁ (0.22 %) and T₁₂ (0.23%). Significantly higher N uptake (205.7 kg ha⁻¹) recorded in T6 and was at par with treatments T₃ (179.6 kg ha⁻¹) and T₉ (184.2 kg ha⁻¹) and P uptake (33.3 kg ha⁻¹) recorded in T6 and was remained at par with treatments T₉ (32.2 kg ha⁻¹), T₃ (31.8 kg ha⁻¹), T₅ (28.5 kg ha⁻¹) and T₅ (27.9 kg ha⁻¹). The INM effect on potash content and uptake was found non-significant

Key word: *Sorghum*, *INM*, *Azospirillum*, *PSB*, *Content*, *Uptake*, *N*, *P*, *K*

Introduction:

Sorghum is the fourth most important cereal crops of India, next to rice, wheat and maize. In India, the area under sorghum is approximately 7.38 million hectares with an annual production of 61.88 million tonnes (Patel *et al.*, 2018). Integrated use of all potential sources of plant nutrients seems to be the only option to maintain soil fertility and crop productivity. At present, the country faces net deficit of 61.1 per cent green fodder and 21.9 per cent dry fodder. This situation indicates green forage supply has to grow at 3.2 per cent to meet the deficit (Bhuriya *et al.*, 2015), (Dodiya and Bhuriya, 2016) and (Bhuriya *et al.*, 2022). Gujarat state has total animal population of 18.44 million heads and their total fodder requirement worked out is 42.2 million tons, whereas only 20.0 million tons of fodder is made available in normal year (Bhuriya *et al.*, 2015), (Dodiya and Bhuriya, 2016) and (Bhuriya *et al.*, 2022).

Integrated nutrient management play an important role in growth as well as quality of fodder crop production. Nitrogen is the most important nutrient for plant growth and is the most limiting nutrient in our soils. Nitrogen application increases crude protein and metabolizable energy, besides improving succulence and palatability of fodder crops. It is the important constituent of chlorophyll and protein. It imparts dark green color to the plants, promotes vegetative growth and rapid early growth. It improves the quality by increasing the protein

content of fodder crops and governs to a considerable degree, the utilization of protein, phosphorus and other elements. Ram and Singh (2003) also noted that nitrogen application to forage sorghum significantly increased the nitrogen uptake, leaf: stem ratio, crop growth rate, relative growth rate as well as the forage and crude protein yields of forage sorghum.

Use of bio-fertilizers can have a greater importance in increasing availability of nutrients, fertilizer use efficiency and microbial biomass. Indian soils are characterized as medium status of available nitrogen, available phosphorus and organic carbon and deficient for many micronutrients. Bio-fertilizers play an important role in the increasing availability of nitrogen and phosphorus. They increase the biological fixation of atmospheric nitrogen and enhance the availability to crop. *Azospirillum* and PSB being essential components of organic farming are the preparations containing live or latent cell of efficient strain of nitrogen fixing, phosphate solubilizing or cellulolytic microorganisms used for application of seed, soil or composting areas with the objectives of increasing number of such microorganisms and very significant role in improving soil fertility by fixing atmospheric nitrogen (Mahdi *et al.*, (2010). Therefore, introduction of efficient strain of “*Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum*” in the soil which is poor in nitrogen, may be helpful in boosting up production and consequently more nitrogen fixation.

Materials method:

The experiment was conducted during the summer season at Main Forage Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat. Total twelve treatments comprising of three N and P treatments and nine bio-fertilizers treatments. The treatments detail includes, that is, T1 : 60-40 (N-P kg ha⁻¹), T2 : 80- 40 (Recommended dose of N-P kg ha⁻¹), T3 : 100- 40 (N-P kg ha⁻¹), T4 : 60-40 (N-P kg ha⁻¹) + *Azospirillum*, T5 : 80-40 (N-P kg ha⁻¹) + *Azospirillum*, T6 : 100-40 (N-P kg ha⁻¹) + *Azospirillum*, T7 : 60-20 (N-P kg ha⁻¹) + *PSB*, T8 : 80-20 (N-P kg ha⁻¹) + *PSB*, T9 : 100-20 (N-P kg ha⁻¹) + *PSB*, T10: 60-20 (N-P kg ha⁻¹) + *Azospirillum* + *PSB*, T11: 80-20 (N-P kg ha⁻¹) + *Azospirillum* + *PSB* and T12 : 100-20 (N-P kg ha⁻¹) + *Azospirillum* + *PSB*. Bio-fertilizer was applied as seed inoculums @ 5 ml kg⁻¹ seed and through drenching @ 1liter ha⁻¹ at 30 DAS. Fifty percent nitrogen and 100% phosphorus were applied as a basal dose. Remaining 50% nitrogen was applied at 30 DAS (Bhuriya *et al.* (2019). Sorghum was harvested at 50 per cent flowering stage. The data statistically analyzed for interpretation of results. Nitrogen from plant sample was determined after cutting and recorded in percentage using Kjeldhal's method (Jackson, 1973). Phosphorus and potassium contents were estimated by using Vandomolybdo phosphoric acid yellow colour method in HNO₃ (Jackson, 1973) and flame

photometric method as described by Jackson (1973), respectively. The nutrient uptake was worked out by employing the following formula,

$$\text{Nutrient uptake} = [\text{Dry matter yield (q ha}^{-1}) \times \text{Nutrient content (\%)}] / 100$$

Result and discussion

Effect of integrated nutrients management on N, P and K content (%) from plant

The data on NPK content (%) of forage sorghum as influenced by integrated nutrient management treatments are summarized in Table 1. The data indicated that N and P content were significantly affected by integrated nutrient management. The effect on K content was non-significant. Significantly highest N content (1.56 %) recorded by T₆ (100-40 N-P kg ha⁻¹ + *Azospirillum*) and was remained at par with treatment T₃ (1.39 %). The tune of per cent increase in N content (14.10 %) under T₆ treatment over treatment T₂ recommended dose of fertilizer. Significantly lower N content (1.17 %) was recorded under the treatment T₁ (60-40 N-P kg ha⁻¹). It may be due to addition of N through application of bio fertilizer (*Azospirillum*) increase N content in soil. The results reported are in conformity with the findings of Pankhaniya *et al.* (1997).

Significantly higher P content (0.25 %) recorded by T₆ (100-40 N-P kg ha⁻¹ + *Azospirillum*) and was at par with treatments T₃ (0.25 %), T₅ (0.24 %) T₉ (0.24%), T₁₁ (0.22 %) and T₁₂ (0.23%). The tune of per cent increase in P content (16.00 %) under T₆ treatment over treatment T₂ recommended dose of fertilizer. Significantly lower P content (0.20 %) was recorded under the treatment T₁ (60-40 N-P kg ha⁻¹). It may be due to addition of phosphorus through application of bio-fertilizer increase P content in soil. The results reported are in conformity with the findings of Pankhaniya *et al.* (1997).

Effect of integrated nutrients management on N, P and K uptake (kg ha⁻¹) from plant

The data on NPK uptake (kg ha⁻¹) of forage sorghum as influenced by integrated nutrient management treatments are summarized in Table 1. The data indicated that N and P uptake was significantly affected by integrated nutrient management. The effect on K uptake was non-significant. Significantly highest N uptake (205.7 kg ha⁻¹) recorded by T₆ (100-40 N-P kg ha⁻¹ + *Azospirillum*) and was at par with treatments T₃ (179.6 kg ha⁻¹) and T₉ (184.2 kg ha⁻¹). The tune of per cent increase in N uptake (23.4 %) under T₆ treatment over treatment T₂ recommended dose of fertilizer. Significantly lower N uptake (125.6 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded under the treatment T₁ (60-40 N-P kg ha⁻¹). The results are in conformity with the findings of Pankhaniya *et al.*

(1997). Nitrogen absorption by sorghum N is main constituent of protein and it is involved in the synthesis of amino acids and accumulation of protein in plants. These results are in accordance with those of Dadheech *et al.* (2000) and Singh *et al.* (2005).

Significantly highest P uptake (33.3 kg ha^{-1}) recorded by T₆ (100-40 N-P kg ha⁻¹ + *Azospirillum*) and was remained at par with treatments T₉ (32.2 kg ha^{-1}), T₃ (31.8 kg ha^{-1}), T₅ (28.5 kg ha^{-1}) and T₅ (27.9 kg ha^{-1}). The tune of per cent increase in P uptake (18.2 %) under T₆ treatment over treatment T₂ recommended dose of fertilizer. Significantly lower P uptake (22.6 kg ha^{-1}) was recorded under the treatment T₁ (60-40 N-P kg ha⁻¹). Phosphorus compounds are the production of organic acids, accompanied by acidification of the medium. The results are in conformity with the findings of Pankhaniya *et al.* (1997).

Conclusion

On the basis of the results of field experimentation, it can be concluded that application of 100-40 (N-P kg ha⁻¹) + *Azospirillum* to forage sorghum recorded significantly higher N, P content and uptake of sorghum as compared to other treatments in sandy loam soil of middle Gujarat, India.

References:

- Bhuriya, K. P., Mistry, G. J and Prajapati, D. (2015). Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of Forage Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) During Summer Season. *Trends in Biosciences*, **8**(17):4755-4758.
- Bhuriya, K. P., Kharadi, R. R., Dodiya, V. C. and Kumbhar, M. B. (2019). Effect of integrated nutrient management on HCN (*Hydrocyanic acid*) content of forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) during summer season. *International Journal of Chemical Studies.*, **7**(6): 2007-2010.
- Bhuriya, K. P., Nagar, V. L., Kumawat, P. D and Bamaniya, V. P (2022). Effect of integrated nutrients management on yield and quality of forage sorghum. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **11**(10): 1443-1446
- Dodiya VC, Bhuriya KP. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Soil Properties of Forage Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) During Summer Season. *Trends in Biosciences*, **9**(4):283-285.

- Dhadheech, R. C., Kumar, D. and Sumeriya, H. K. (2000). Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) fodder yield as influenced by nitrogen levels and sorghum cultivars. *Agric. Sci. Diges*, **20** (3): 165-167.
- Jackson, M. L. (1973). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi.
- Kumar, S. and Sharma B. L. (2002). Effect of nitrogen and Azospirillum inoculation on yield and quality of fodder sorghum. *Forage Res.*, **28**(3): 165-168.
- Mahdi S.S., Hassan G. I., Samoon S. A., Rather H. A., Dar S. A and B. (2010). Bio fertilizers in organic agriculture. *Journal of Phytology.*, **2**(10): 42-54.
- Pankhaniya, R. M., Jethwa, M. G., Khanpara, V. D., Kaneria, B. B and Mathukia, R. K. (1997). Effect of N and P on yield, quality, uptake of nutrients and economics of fodder sorghum varieties. *GAU Res. J*, **22** (2): 127-129.
- Patel, K. M., Patel, D. M., Gelot, D. G and Patel, I. M. (2018). Effect of integrated nutrient management on green forage yield, quality and nutrient uptake of fodder sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, **6**(1):173-176.
- Ram, S. N and Singh, B. (2003). Physiological growth parameters, forage yield and nitrogen uptake of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) as influenced with legume intercropping, harvesting time and nitrogen levels. *Indian J. Agron.*, **48**(1): 38-41.
- Singh, M. M, Maurya, M. L., Singh, S. P., and Mishra, C. H. (2005). Effect of nitrogen level and biofertilizer inoculation on productivity of forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **75**(3).

Table 1. Effect of integrated nutrients management on NPK content and uptake of plant

Sr. no.	Treatments	Content (%)			Uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)		
		N	P	K	N	P	K
T ₁	60-40 (N-P kg ha ⁻¹)	1.17	0.20	0.31	125.6	22.6	33.20
T ₂	80-40(Recommended dose of N-P kg ha ⁻¹)	1.34	0.21	0.32	157.5	27.2	38.36
T ₃	100-40 (N-P kg ha ⁻¹)	1.39	0.25	0.34	179.6	31.8	40.10
T ₄	60-40 (N-P kg ha ⁻¹) + <i>Azospirillum</i>	1.34	0.21	0.30	143.9	22.7	33.60
T ₅	80-40 (N-P kg ha ⁻¹) + <i>Azospirillum</i>	1.36	0.24	0.31	164.5	28.5	38.13
T ₆	100-40 (N-P kg ha ⁻¹) + <i>Azospirillum</i>	1.56	0.25	0.36	205.7	33.3	42.05
T ₇	60-20 (N-P kg ha ⁻¹) + PSB	1.19	0.21	0.29	133.2	24.0	33.50
T ₈	80-20 (N-P kg ha ⁻¹) + PSB	1.34	0.22	0.31	156.7	24.5	37.03
T ₉	100-20 (N-P kg ha ⁻¹) + PSB	1.37	0.24	0.37	184.2	32.2	43.24
T ₁₀	60-20 (N-P kg ha ⁻¹) + <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	1.24	0.20	0.31	143.1	23.4	36.14
T ₁₁	80-20 (N-P kg ha ⁻¹) + <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	1.25	0.22	0.32	144.1	25.2	37.24
T ₁₂	100-20(N-P kg ha ⁻¹) + <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB	1.38	0.23	0.34	169.3	27.9	42.63
	S. Em. ±	0.06	0.01	0.02	11.5	1.9	2.79
	C.D. at 5 %	0.17	0.03	NS	33.3	5.6	NS
	C.V. %	9.27	9.6	10.32	14.6	14.3	14.18