

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_93521</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of rice allelochemical, mulch and herbicides on growth of wheat under different crop establishment methods</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Italicize plant taxonomic names consistently throughout the paper.</b></p> <p><b>No author(s) listed?</b></p> <p><b>Consistent line spacing throughout paper.</b></p> <p><b>Label all treatments in a table and use these abbreviations throughout the text.</b></p> <p><b>Need information about the soil used in the experiment.</b></p> <p><b>What software was used for statistical analysis?</b></p> <p><b>Not enough text and information in the "Materials and Methods" and "Results and Discussion" section. Need to really go into detail about the research methods used and why the author believes these results are occurring.</b></p> <p><b>Refer to Table 1 in the text.</b></p> <p><b>Need justification for further research in the "Conclusion". More text also.</b></p> <p><b>Grammatical errors and correct usage needs to seriously be addressed.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Consistent font, spacing and headings need to be made throughout the paper.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This paper needs a substantial amount of work before it can be considered for publication. Five pages is nowhere near a proper length for a peer-reviewed publication.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>James Burke</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Arkansas, United States of America</b>