

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_93477
Title of the Manuscript:	Farm-scale mapping of soil phosphorus and potassium fractions using geostatistical technique
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Improve the Introduction: Write more information about the subject matter of the manuscript; Some paragraphs can be used in the discussion, not in the introduction; Use most recent quotes, preferably 2017 to here.</p> <p>Improve the Material and Methods: It is not necessary to bold the citations of the figures; Subtopic 2.2 untitled; What is or what is this "standard methodology"?; I suggest writing the text of this topics clearer and more organized.</p> <p>Improve the Results and Discussion: It is not necessary to bold the citations of the figures and the tables; The analysis: "Coefficient of skewness (γ_1) and kurtosis (γ_2) for soil P & K fractions" it's really necessary to achieve the objective of the manuscript?; In figure 2, put only the graph drawing with the legend; Improve the writing of discussions, insert recent articles; Use most recent quotes, preferably 2017 to here.</p> <p>Improve the Conclusion: The text is "poor", I suggest that you insert the importance of this research in the area of agriculture, precision farming, food production, etc. show the importance of research for the present day.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript is very good, but it need to improve on several points. The authors needs to review and improve all the points mentioned above for his article to continue in the publication process.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rafael Machado de Araújo Alves
Department, University & Country	University Federal of Paraíba (UFPB), Brazil