

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJPSS_93291
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY ON CHARACTER ASSOCIATION AND GENETIC PARAMETERS OF CHICKPEA (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)
Type of the Item	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVIEW comments	<p>Theme:By mentioning that there are studies similar to the one carried out, it can cease to be original; however, its originality occurs in the research process as well as in the findings generated.</p> <p>Summary:The objective, the methodology and the results show an important contribution for the cultivation of the plant in this area and agricultural benefit.</p> <p>Keywords:The UNESCO THESAURS allows the location of the article within a universal academic glossary, it is suggested to work with this tool: Agriculture, Legumes, Vegetables...</p> <p>Introduction:The background, the justification, the problem raised is pertinent.</p> <p>Materials and methods:The environment is suitable for the study, the selected population and the controlled experimental design, is suitable as the method used for data analysis.</p> <p>Results and Discussion:The results present important findings in this cultivation process that favors the farmer, the results show to be compared with that of other studies, this favors the investigation; It would be important to indicate possible lines of investigation such as the difficulties, if any.</p> <p>Conclusions:The conclusions are in the direction of the stated objective.</p> <p>Bibliographic references:The references are adequate and pertinent to the proposed study.</p> <p>Minor revisions are as follows</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check and fix grammar issues 2. Check language issues 	
<u>Minor</u> REVIEW comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeverson Santiago Quishpe Gaibor
Department, University & Country	Salesian Polytechnic University, Ecuador