

EFFECT OF DIFFERENT AMENDMENTS AND INORGANIC NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT APPROACHES IN CORRECTING SODICITY UNDER PADDY ECO SYSTEM

ABSTARCT

Agricultural production in sodic soil may be hindered by its unfavorable physicochemical properties. In order to find effective measure to improve the health of sodic soil and make it to favourable for crop production, a field experiment was conducted at ZARS VC Farm Mandya to study the effect of different amendments on properties of sodic soil. The experiment was laid under split plot design with inorganic nutrient management viz., RDF, SSNM and STCR as main treatments and amendments such as press mud, gypsum and Mangala setright as sub treatments. Results of experiment revealed that application of gypsum significantly reduced pH to 8.5 from 8.96 of initial soil which was on par with application of setright at 600kg/ha (8.68) and press mud (8.69). Whereas exchangeable sodium and ESP was reduced significantly due to application of setright at 400 kg/ha (1.78 cmol/kg and 6.52 respectively) and pressmud at 100% GR (1.85 cmol/kg and 7.03) compared to control (3.69 cmol/kg and 13.72 respectively) and initial soil. Thus application of Mangala Setright at 400kg/ha or pressmud at 100% GR are more beneficial in rectifying sodicity of soil.

Key words: Sodic soil, Premud, Gypsum, Mangala Setright, Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) Soil test crop response (STCR) Sit specific Nutrient Management (SSNM)

INTRODUCTION

Land is most vital resource of any country which is fixed asset and cannot be expanded to meet the needs of increasing population both in case of space and agricultural production. Degradation of these lands due to various factors leads to significant challenges in their effective utilization. Out of many factor of soil degradation, excess salt is prominent one which led to evolution of salt affected. salinity and sodicity development in soil are of universally notable, can cause more negative impact on crop production. Increasing the soil salinity and sodicity are serious worldwide issue and may be even increase rapidly in the future (Wong et al., 2009). In India large area for about 9.38 million hectare is occupied by salt affcted soil out of which 3.88 million hectare are alkaline soil and 5.50 million hectare are saline soils (Gopikannan and Ganesh 2013). The excessive salt accumulation especially sodium will adversely affect the both soil physical and chemical properties of soil (Prapagar et al., 2012) by the action of dispersion of soil separates. Due to these problems whole process of agricultural production will get upset. Thus it is necessarily to bring such soils under cultivation with proper management practices. Maintaining and restoring the quality soil of is the greatest challenge fall in front of us because quality and fertility is the one of the vital feature controlling yields of agricultural crops. Soil fertility changes and the nutrient balance are taken as key indicator of soil quality (Jansen et al., 1995). To meet the healthy nutrient status, management of these soils is essential with effective amendments. Successful amelioration of these soil involves,

addition of calcium externally through soil amendments or mobilized from native CaCO₃ (Pagaria and Totawat 2007) to replace the excess concentration of sodium on clay complex which further act as flocculent (Wuddivira and Camps, 2007) and improve the both physical and chemical soil properties favoring the growth of any plant. The management of these sodic soils will not only increase soil fertility but also helps to add the security to food production of country. Thus in present study, an attempt was made to find out comparative effect of different amendments obtained from various source in reclaiming sodic soil.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Field experiment was carried at the experiment was conducted at ZARS, V.C. Farm, Mandya that comes under Agro Climatic Zone-6, Southern Dry Zone, Karnataka. It lies between 76°82'05" E longitude and 12°58'06" N latitude with 705 meters above mean sea level.

The study was arranged in split plot design with 3 main treatment and 7 sub treatment where each treatment replicated thrice. The following treatments were imposed in field experiment. Nutrient management practices: M₁: Recommended Dose of Fertilizer M₂: Soil Test Crop Response M₃: Site Specific Nutrient Management Amendment Application: Amendments: T₁: No amendment control T₂: Gypsum @ 100% GR T₃: Pressmud @ 100% GR T₄: Setright @ 200 kg ha⁻¹ T₅: Setright @ 400 kg ha⁻¹ T₆: Setright @ 600 kg ha⁻¹ T₇: Setright @ 800 kg ha⁻¹

Pertaining to nutrient management practices treatment, the recommended dose of 125-62.5-50 was applied as per the Standard Package of practice for paddy. Where in STCR approach, under mentioned fertilizer adjustment equation developed by AICRP on STCR, UAS, Bengaluru centre for southern dry zone (zone 6) was used.

$$FN = 4.703T - 274.805 \text{ SN (OC \%)} - 0.00141 \text{ OM}$$

$$FP_2O_5 = 1.636 - 0.256 P_2O_5 \text{ (Olsen's } P_2O_5) - 0.00077 \text{ OM}$$

$$FK_2O = 2.306T - 0.494SK_2O \text{ (NH}_4\text{OAC-K}_2\text{O)} - 0.0014 \text{ OM}$$

Using the above fertilizer adjustment equation, the quantity of fertilizer nutrient required for achieving 50q ha⁻¹ grain yield of paddy was worked out. The nutrient supplied by 10 t FYM was deducted from total quantity of fertilizer require for targeted yield. Fertilizer N, P₂O₅, K₂O applied for STCR treatment was 15.25:68:5.57 kg ha⁻¹. Required quantity of K fertilizer was very low hence 50 per cent of recommended dose was applied as per the AICRP on STCR rules.

With respect to nutrient management in SSNM, below mentioned crop nutrient removal has taken as bench mark to fix the nutrient recommendation. The quantity of fertilizer nutrient required for achieving 50q ha⁻¹ grain yield of paddy was worked out.

$$N = 20.1 \text{ kg ton}^{-1} \quad P_2O_5 = 11.2 \text{ kg ton}^{-1} \quad K_2O = 30 \text{ kg ton}^{-1}$$

Nutrient requirement = (targeted yield X crop nutrient removal per ton of production)

Fertilizer quantity calculated for SSNM treatment was 100.5:56:150 kg ha⁻¹ of N, P₂O₅, and K₂O. Recommended dose of potassium as per SSNM approach was high so that 30 per cent less than the actual dose were applied.

The different amendments are applied 15 days advance in order to get good incubation. Semi dwarf saline tolerant Paddy variety IR 30864 was transplanted after 32 days of sowing in nursery. All standard packages of practices for paddy were carried and representative samples from each treatment plot have taken after harvest of crop for chemical analysis.

The soil samples were air dried, ground to pass through 2mm sieve and stored for analysis. Chemical property of all plot samples were measured by standard methods. The pH of soil is estimated by potentiometric method in 1:2.5 Ratios as described by Jackson (1973). The same suspension was kept overnight, electrical conductivity of soil was measured in clear supernatant solution using conductivity bridge and results were expressed in terms of dS m^{-1} at 25°C (Jackson, 1973). The Exchangeable sodium and cation exchange capacity of soils was determined by the procedure of Jackson (1973) and Richard (1954) respectively. Whereas exchangeable sodium percentage was computed by under mentioned formula,

$$\text{ESP} = \frac{\text{Exchangeable sodium}}{\text{Cation exchange capacity}} \times 100$$

The data collected from the experiment on different soil are subjected to statistical analysis as described by Gomez and Gomez (1984) and interpreted accordingly at 5% significance level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Initial properties of soil and characteristics of amendments

The soil of experimental site was purely alkaline in reaction with high pH of 8.96. The electrical conductivity, exchangeable sodium, CEC and exchangeable sodium percentage was 1.22 dS m^{-1} , 5.53 cmol/kg , $25 \text{ cmol (p+) kg}^{-1}$ and 22.59% respectively. By this parameter, soil is classified as sodic soil. Amelioration process of sodic soil involves replacement of sodium ion with calcium ion. Total Calcium required to bring the sodic soil to normal soil was computed in term of gypsum requirement which was about 1.13 t/acre. There are three amendments were used viz., press mud having 5.60 cmol/kg of calcium, gypsum with 20.72 % of calcium and Mangala setright, a commercial product of 15.0% Calcium.

pH and EC

The soil pH was analyzed after harvest of paddy crop. There was ample change was observed due to application of various amendments. The drastic reduction in pH was observed from 8.96 to 8.6 ranges due to different amendments. pH in no amendment control was 8.81 which decreased significantly to 8.68 due to application of setright @ 600 kg ha^{-1} but it was on par with treatment receiving pressmud @ 100 % GR and setright @ 400 Kg ha^{-1} (8.69 and 8.69 respectively). Further it reduced significantly to 8.50 on application of gypsum @ 100 % GR compared to all other treatments.

Table 1. Initial physico-chemical properties of soil and different amendments at experimental site

SN	Properties	Soil	Pressmud	Gypsum	Setright
1	Soil pH (1:2.5)	08.96	6.75	4.22	4.56
2	EC (1:2.5) (dS m ⁻¹)	01.22	4.20	2.62	1.65
3	Organic carbon (g kg ⁻¹)	08.00	2.73	ND	ND
4	CEC (cmol (p+) kg ⁻¹)	25.00	-	-	-
5	Exchangeable calcium (cmol kg ⁻¹)	12.62	5.60	20.70%	15.0%
6	Exchangeable magnesium (cmol kg ⁻¹)	02.50	-	-	-
7	Exchangeable sodium (cmol kg ⁻¹)	05.53	-	-	-
8	Exchangeable sodium percentage	22.59	-	-	-
9	Gypsum requirement (t acre ⁻¹)	01.13	-	-	-

The reduction in soil pH was attributed to displacement of exchangeable sodium by calcium ion (Mamoun *et.al.*, 2009, Dodd *et.al.*, 2013) which is present in amendments and subsequent formation of sodium sulphate which gets leached out of soil through drainage process. Similar decrease in soil pH from initial value of 9.38 to 7.80 by application of gypsum @ 100 % GR was observed by Santhosh and thiyageshwari (2018) while Archana and Jithendra (2014) observed that decrease in soil pH (8.8 to 7.6) due to application of pressmud applied at the rate of 200 t ha⁻¹. Beneficial effect of pressmud application in reduction of soil pH might be due to acidifying effect of organic and inorganic acids produced during the process of decomposition of organic amendments (Prapagar *et al.*, 2012) and also by supply of calcium on decomposition of organic matter which displaces the sodium as reported by Patel and Bhajan sing (1991). It may also due to liberation of CO₂ and organic acid during decomposition of pressmud which solubilized the native CaCO₃ and neutralized the sodicity as reported by Trilok *et al.* (2010). Decrease in pH due to different nutrient management practices and interaction was not significant. Although considerable decrease in pH was found compared to pH of initial soil due to fertilizer application.

Use of different amendments significantly decreased the EC of soil after harvest of the crop. Significant reduction in EC was maximum with application of setright @ 600 kg ha⁻¹ (0.74 dS m⁻¹) followed by 0.79 dS m⁻¹ in pressmud applied @ 100 % GR. Leaching of excess salt which are replaced by the calcium through drainage might be the reason for reduced EC in treated plots. Similar results were also observed by Veerendra and Mishra (2001); Negim, 2015 who reported that application of sulphitation pressmud decreased the EC of alkali soil. Patel and Bhajan Singh (1991) revealed that application of pressmud @ 25 % GR reduced the EC to 1.1 from 1.5 dS m⁻¹. He also suggested that application of gypsum @ 25 % GR reduced the EC to 1.00 dS m⁻¹ this holds good for reduction of EC in setright as it considered as calcium source like gypsum. The effect of nutrient management alone and their interaction effect with amendments were non-significant. However lowest EC of 0.69 dS m⁻¹ was recorded in RDF+Setright @600 kg /ha followed by pressmud @100% GR and SSNM+Mangala Setright @400 Kg/ha (0.73 dS m⁻¹ and 0.75 dS m⁻¹ Respectively).

Table2. Soil pH and electrical conductivity of soil as influenced by application of different amendments and nutrient management practices

Treatments	pH				Electrical conductivity (dS m ⁻¹)			
	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	MEAN	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	MEAN
T ₁	8.71	8.91	8.80	8.81	0.99	0.87	0.80	0.89
T ₂	8.40	8.55	8.56	8.50	0.91	1.12	1.12	1.05
T ₃	8.68	8.76	8.64	8.69	0.73	0.77	0.86	0.79
T ₄	8.78	8.90	8.84	8.84	0.85	0.92	0.86	0.88
T ₅	8.69	8.77	8.60	8.69	0.91	0.75	0.75	0.81
T ₆	8.61	8.56	8.85	8.68	0.61	0.79	0.82	0.74
T ₇	8.72	8.85	8.65	8.74	0.82	0.89	0.79	0.83
MEAN	8.66	8.76	8.70		0.83	0.87	0.86	
	M	T	M x T		M	T	M x T	
S.Em±	0.06	0.04	0.07		0.05	0.04	0.07	
CD (p=0.05)	NS	0.12	NS		NS	0.11	NS	

Nutrient management practices: M₁: Recommended Dose of Fertilizer M₂: Soil Test Crop Response M₃: Site Specific Nutrient Management **Amendment Application:** T₁: No amendment control T₂: Gypsum @ 100% GR T₃: Pressmud @ 100% GR T₄: Setright @ 200 kg ha⁻¹ T₅: Setright @ 400 kg ha⁻¹ T₆: Setright @ 600 kg ha⁻¹ T₇: Setright @ 800 kg ha⁻¹

Exchangeable Sodium, Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) and Exchangeable Sodium Percentage

Exchangeable sodium in sodic soil, is such element which has disruptive action of clay particles in sodic soil (Wang et al., 2014). The data on effect of amendments on exchangeable sodium after harvest of crop is presented in Table.3. Initial sodium content was 5.53 cmol kg⁻¹ which reduced drastically due to application of amendments. The exchangeable sodium content in no amendment control was 3.69 cmol kg⁻¹ which decreased significantly to 1.78 cmol kg⁻¹ due to application of setright @ 400 kg ha⁻¹ but it was on par with pressmud @ 100 % GR (1.85 cmol kg⁻¹). Mean while superior Decrease in sodium content was also recorded due to application gypsum @ 100 % GR (1.91 cmol kg⁻¹) which was on par to pressmud applied @ 100 % GR treatment and is significant compared to no amendment control. Whereas chemical nutrient application approaches alone did not produced significant effect on Exchangeable sodium content. But the interaction effect of both amendments and nutrient management was found significant. The significant reduction in sodium content (1.37 cmol kg⁻¹) was recorded due to SSNM + setright @ 400 kg ha⁻¹ but found on par with treatment received RDF+ setright @ 400 kg ha⁻¹ (1.58 cmol kg⁻¹) and RDF+ gypsum @100 % GR (1.69 cmol kg⁻¹) treatments. The reduction in sodium content due to leaching process of free sodium ion which comes into soil solution after displacement by calcium sourced by amendments or acids which produced during the process of decomposition of amendments mobilized the solubility of native calcium carbonate (Puttaswamygowda *et al.*, 1973). This result is in accordance with that reported by Tejada *et al.*, 2006; Mamoun *et al.*, 2009; Udayasoorian *et al.* 2009.

CEC of soil after harvest of crop did not show significant variation due to incorporation of different amendments. In spite very slight increase in CEC was found from 25 cmol (p+) kg⁻¹ to approximate range of 26.00 to 27.50 cmol (p+) kg⁻¹ in amended soil which is insignificant (Table.3). CEC values will vary according to soil particle, grain size, distribution, type and amount of different clay minerals present in soil (Matha and Prasad 2017) thus Change in CEC of soil occurs only when change in the quantity of clay separates. This may be the reason behind the non significant change CEC of soil, as used amendments are capable of change chemical property of soil.

Table 3. Exchangeable sodium, CEC and ESP of soil after harvest of crop as influenced by application of different amendments and nutrient management practices

Treatments	Sodium (cmol kg ⁻¹)				CEC (cmol (p+) kg ⁻¹)				ESP			
	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	MEAN	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	MEAN	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	MEAN
T ₁	3.66	3.76	3.65	3.69	25.37	28.24	27.95	27.19	14.09	13.86	13.22	13.72
T ₂	1.69	2.21	1.85	1.91	25.19	25.91	27.09	26.06	6.45	8.27	6.90	7.20
T ₃	1.69	1.93	1.93	1.85	27.95	26.62	26.23	26.93	6.18	7.51	7.41	7.03
T ₄	2.19	2.27	1.80	2.09	26.60	27.25	25.37	26.41	8.22	8.11	6.97	7.77
T ₅	2.11	1.85	1.37	1.78	26.60	27.19	27.09	26.96	7.92	6.59	5.05	6.52
T ₆	1.58	2.25	2.12	1.99	26.60	29.67	27.09	27.79	5.92	7.74	7.77	7.14
T ₇	1.94	2.56	1.85	2.12	27.00	27.95	27.95	27.63	7.24	9.14	6.63	7.67
MEAN	2.12	2.40	2.08		26.47	27.55	26.97		8.00	8.75	7.71	
	M	T	M x T		M	T	M x T		M	T	M x T	
S.Em±	0.11	0.09	0.16		0.28	0.42	0.73		0.30	0.34	0.59	
CD (p=0.05)	NS	0.26	0.45		NS	NS	NS		NS	0.98	1.69	

Nutrient management practices: M₁: Recommended Dose of Fertilizer M₂: Soil Test Crop Response M₃: Site Specific Nutrient Management **Amendment Application:** T₁: No amendment control T₂: Gypsum @ 100% GR T₃: Pressmud @ 100% GR T₄: Setright @ 200 kg ha⁻¹ T₅: Setright @ 400 kg ha⁻¹ T₆: Setright @ 600 kg ha⁻¹

Exchangeable sodium percentage is prominent parameter to categories the sodic soil. In perusal of data from Table.3, Decrease in the ESP of soil was registered by application of different amendments and fertilization approaches. Application various amendments to sodic soil found significant over control (13.72). Gypsum applied at rate of 100 % GR reduced the ESP to 7.20 followed by 7.03 due to pressmud @ 100% GR incorporation. Further Application of setright @ 400 kg ha⁻¹ found most favorable reduction in ESP of 6.52 compared to all other treatment. Among various level, setright @ 400 kg/ha was superior. Similarly the interaction between SSNM and setright 400 kg ha⁻¹ recorded significant reduction in ESP (5.05) compared to most of other combinations followed by RDF+ setright 600 kg ha⁻¹ (5.92). Whereas application of RDF+Gypsum @ 100% GR and RDF+ Press mud @ 100% GR (6.18 & 6.45 Respectively) found significant over control+nutrient management approaches but are on par to each other. The reduction in ESP due to different nutrient management practice found non significant however lowest value was in SSNM (7.71) treatment. The reduction in ESP due to application of amendments followed by natural

leaching may be attributed to replacement of Na from exchange site and its removal for the soil through leaching (Mamoun *et al.*, 2009). In contrast to this the findings of Choudhary *et al.*, 2004, evident that, addition of pressmud with gypsum decreased the ESP of the sodic and sodic saline soil. Thrilok *et al.* (2010). By their investigation reported that, application of gypsum and pressmud reduced the ESP of soil to 14 and 28 respectively from 55 in control. Similarly, Shaimaa *et al.*, (2012) observed that reduction in ESP of soil due to application of gypsum along with Zinc from 16.53 to 12.53. These results are also in conformity with findings of Archana singh and Jithendra Kuma, (2014).

Grain and Straw Yield

Perusal of data presented in Table 4 revealed that application of RDF (125:62.5:50 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O ha⁻¹) recorded significantly higher grain yield of 2.27 t ha⁻¹ compared to application of fertilizers on STCR basis (2.14 t ha⁻¹) and SSNM (2.11 t ha⁻¹). However, the significantly higher straw yield of paddy (5.78 t ha⁻¹) was recorded in the treatment receiving nutrients on SSNM approach followed by RDF and STCR treatments. Among these treatment RDF treatment got high Nitrogen application compared to other two. It is well known fact that N is required for growth of plants, tillering and chlorophyll synthesis, which in turn resulted in higher grain yield. It was also observed by many workers that higher N level is required for better growth and yield of rice grown in salt affected soil than the rice grown under normal soils (125 kg v/s 100 kg N ha⁻¹). Similar results are obtained by Yaduvanshi and Chhipa (2007) who reported that application of nitrogen @ 120 kg ha⁻¹ increased the yield than applied @ 90 kg ha⁻¹.

The grain yield of paddy in control was 1.23 t ha⁻¹ which increased significantly to 2.59 t ha⁻¹ due to application of pressmud @ 100 % GR but it was statistically at par with those recorded in T₅ and T₆ (2.46 and 2.43 t ha⁻¹, respectively). However grain yield recorded in T₂, T₄ and T₇ (2.21, 2.09 and 2.23 t ha⁻¹, respectively) was significantly lower than that recorded with application of pressmud @ 100 % GR. Significantly higher grain and straw yield in this treatment is probably due to addition of more plant nutrients as compared to gypsum and setright (Patel and Bajan singh, 1991). Lower grain yield in gypsum amended treatment might be attributed to increase in EC as indicated by Table.2 due to addition of calcium sulphate. Apart from this, The low grain yield obtained in control plot clearly demonstrates the effect of excess sodium on exchange complex on yield, while significantly higher grain yield was obtained with amendments (2.09 to 2.59 t ha⁻¹) might be attributed to decrease in pH and ESP of sodic soil upon incorporation of amendments, which might have helped in better nutrient utilization by crop. The low yield of paddy crop in control might be attributed to poor growth and yield parameters suggests that although the available nutrients status of soil is medium to high (medium in N and P and high in K), plants are not able to utilize the nutrients efficiently because poor root environment due to higher ESP. Similar low yield of paddy grown in unamended sodic soils have been documented by Suwiphaporn *et al.* (2014) However, Lal Bahadur *et al.*, 2013, Kumar and Sharma (2009) recorded higher yield due to application of amendments in sodic soils.

Table 4. Grain Straw yield of paddy crop as influenced by application of different amendments and nutrient management practices

Treatments	Grain yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (t ha ⁻¹)
------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	MEAN	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	MEAN
T ₁	1.18	1.15	1.36	1.23	2.90	3.60	4.63	3.71
T ₂	2.15	2.22	2.28	2.21	6.13	4.73	5.83	5.57
T ₃	2.93	2.45	2.40	2.59	6.23	5.57	6.33	6.04
T ₄	2.35	2.08	1.85	2.10	4.83	5.43	5.57	5.28
T ₅	2.14	2.76	2.47	2.46	5.60	6.20	5.93	5.91
T ₆	2.90	2.21	2.17	2.43	5.40	5.53	6.23	5.72
T ₇	2.27	2.17	2.27	2.23	5.47	5.50	5.95	5.64
MEAN	2.27	2.15	2.11		5.22	5.22	5.78	
	M	T	M x T		M	T	M x T	
S.Em±	0.03	0.06	0.11		0.09	0.18	0.32	
CD (p=0.05)	0.13	0.18	0.30		0.35	0.52	0.91	

Nutrient management practices: M₁: Recommended Dose of Fertilizer M₂: Soil Test Crop Response M₃: Site Specific Nutrient Management **Amendment Application:** T₁: No amendment control T₂: Gypsum @ 100% GR T₃: Pressmud @ 100% GR T₄: Setright @ 200 kg ha⁻¹ T₅: Setright @ 400 kg ha⁻¹ T₆: Setright @ 600 kg ha⁻¹

The interaction of amendments application and nutrient management practices had significant effect on grain and straw yield of paddy. The highest grain yield of 2.92 t ha⁻¹ was registered due to RDF + pressmud @ 100 % GR but it was on par with RDF + setright @ 600 kg ha⁻¹ (2.89 t ha⁻¹). Similarly, significantly higher straw yield of 6.33 t ha⁻¹ was recorded due to application of nutrients on SSNM approach + pressmud @ 100 % GR. The higher yield may be attributed to improvement in soil properties due to addition of pressmud followed by application of nutrients. The improved availability of nutrients and improvement in sodicity enhanced the growth and yield parameters of paddy, as result of improvement in these parameters higher grain and straw yield was recorded due to application of pressmud @ 100 % GR or setright @ 600 kg ha⁻¹ along with RDF.

CONCLUSION

Investigation revealed that application of different amendments considerably decreased the pH Exchangeable sodium and ESP of soil compared to control. Application of pressmud and Mangala setright showed superior in reclamation process with successful reduction of sodicity of soil. As application of pressmud require in bulk and large quantity, mangala setright will be a good alternate option to bring the sodic soil to normal condition. Among interaction of nutrient with amendments RDF+Setright and SSNM+ Setright application had remarkable effect on reduction in sodicity. The different Fertilizer recommendation system such as SSNM and STCR are also works well under sodic environment in uplifting the paddy yield. Thus STCR and SSNM approaches can be used effectively in nutrient management system under sodic soil as these approaches precisely use the specific recommendations per growing site which intern reduce the cost of cultivation.

REFERENCE

- Archana singh and jotendra kumar singh, 2014, effect of gypsum on the reclamation and soil chemical properties in sodic soils of raebareli district uttar Pradesh, *International Journal of Scientific Research in Environmental Science* 2(12): 429-434
- Choudhary, O. P., Josan, A. S., Bajwa, M. S. and Kapur, L., 2004, Effect of sustained sodic and saline-sodic irrigation and application of gypsum and farmyard manure on yield and quality of sugarcane under semi-arid conditions. *Field Crops Research*, **87**: 103-116.
- Dodd K. A, C. N. Guppy B,D, P. V. Lockwood B , and I. J. Rochester, 2013, The effect of sodicity on cotton: does soil chemistry or soil physical condition have the greater role?. *Crop and Pasture Science*, 64: 806–815
- Gomez KA and Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. 2nd Ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York. 1984.
- Gopikannan, M. and Ganesh, S. K., 2013, Inter relationship and path analysis in rice (*oryza sativa* L.) under sodicity. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, **6**(9): 324-328 (check in online)
- Jackson, M.L., 1973, *Soil chemical analysis. Practice Hall of India (Pvt.) Ltd.*, New Delhi.
- Jansen, D. M., Stoorvogel, J. J. and Shipper, R. A., 1995, Using sustainability indicator in agriculture land use analysis: an example from costa rica. *Netherlands Journal of agricultural Sciences*, **43**(1):61-82
- Kumar KS and Sharma SK. Effect of distillery effluent based pressmud compost on seed yield and seed quality attributes of paddy cultivar pusa basmathi No 1. *Journal of soil and water conservation*. 2009; 8(4): 67-71.
- Lal Bahadur, Tiwari DD, Mishra J. and Gupta BR. Evaluation of integrated nutrient management options in rice (*Oryza sativa*)– wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system in reclaimed sodic land, *Indian Journal of agronomy*. 2013; 58 (2): 137-145.
- Mamoun A. Gharaibeh, Nabil, I. Eltaif and Ola F. Shunnar, 2009. Leaching and reclamation of calcareous saline-sodic soil by moderately saline and moderate-SAR water using gypsum and calcium chloride. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and soil Science*, **175**: 713–719.
- Matha Prasad Adari and Adari Devi Prasad, 2017, Cation exchange capacity (CEC) and unconfined capacity and unconfined compressive strength (UCS) of soils under the influence of lime and fly ash. *VFSTR Journal of STEM*, **3**(1): 51-59
- Negim, O., 2015, Effect of addition pressmud and gypsum by product to reclamation of highly calcareous saline sodic soil. *American Association for Science and Technology Journal of Environment*, **1**(4):76-84

- Pagaria, P. and Totawat, K. L., 2007, Effect of pressmud and spentwash in integration with phosphogypsum on yield and economics of wheat on sodic soil, *Annals of Arid Zone*, **46**(2) 163-168
- Patel, K. P. AND Bhajan Singh, 1991, A comparative study on the effect of gypsum, pressmud and pyrites on leachate composition. Soil properties and yield of rice and wheat on a sodic soil. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science*, **39**: 154-159.
- Prapagar, K., Indraratne, S. P., and Premanandarajaih, P., 2012, Effect of soil amendments on reclamation of saline sodic soil, *Tropical Agricultural Research*, **23**:168-176
- Puttaswamygowda, S. B., Wallihan, E., F. and P. F. Prat, 1973, Effects of Drainage and Organic Amendments on the Reclamation of a Sodic Soil Cropped with Rice, *Soil Science Society of America proceedings*, **37**: 621-625
- Richard, L.A., 1954, *Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkaline soils*. USDA Handbook Soil Salinity Laboratory, U.S. Department of Agriculture and Oxford & IBH Pub. Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. pp. 160.
- Santhosh Kumar, M. and Thiyageshwari, S., 2018, performance of Nano Gypsum on Reclamation of Sodic soil, *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **6**:56-62
- Shaimaa, H., Abd Elrahman, Mostafa, M. A. M., Taha, T. A., Elsharawy, M. A. O., Eid, M. A., 2012, Effect of different amendments on soil chemical characteristics, grain yield and elemental content of wheat plants grown on salt-affected soil irrigated with low quality water. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences*, **57**(2): 175–182
- Suwiphaporn Jedrum, Suphicha Rhanachit, Somchai, Anusontpornperm And Wanpen Wiriyakitnateekul. Soil amendments effect on yield and quality of jasmine rice grown on typic natraqualfs, Northeast Thailand. *International journal of soil science*. 2014; **9**(2): 37-54.
- Tejada, M., Garcia, C., Gonzalez, J. L. and Hernandez, M. T., 2006, Use of organic amendment as a strategy for saline soil remediation: influence on the physical, chemical and biological properties of soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **38**: 1413–1421.
- Trilok , N. R., Kedar, N. R., Prasad, S. N., Sharma, C. P., Mishra, S. K. and Guptha, B. R., 2010, Effect of organic amendments, bio innoculents and gypsum on reclamation and soil chemical properties in sodic soils of Etawah. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation.*, **9**(3): 197-200

Udayasoorian, C., Sebastian, S. P., and Jayabalakrishnan, R. M., 2009, Effect of amendments on problem soils with poor quality irrigation water under sugarcane crop. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences*, **5**: 618-626.

Veerendra Kumar and Mishra, B., 2001, Effect of two types of pressmud cake on growth of rice maize and soil properties. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science*, **39**: 109-113.

Wang, L., X. Sun, S. Li, T. Zhang, W. Zhang, and P. Zhai. 2014. Application of organic amendments to a coastal saline soil in North China: effects on soil physical and chemical properties and tree growth. *PLoS ONE*, **9** (2):e89185 (1-9)

Wong, V. N. L., Dala, R. C, and Greene, R. S. B., 2009, Carbon dynamics of sodic and saline soils following gypsum and organic material addition: a laboratory incubation. *Applied Soil Ecology* **14**:29-40

Wuddivira, M., N, and G. Camps-Roach, 2007, Effects of organic matter and calcium on soil structural stability, *European Journal of Soil Science*, **58**: 722–727

Competing interest: Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Authors' Contributions: Author Prakhyath K M designed and conducted the field experiment , recorded the data, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the draft of the manuscript. 'Author Prakash SS supervised entire research experiment, read and approved the final manuscript and Author Honnappa H M, recorded the data and managed the literature searches.