

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_94124
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Post-Harvest Operations on Seed Quality in Soybean
Type of the article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVIEW comments	<p>Theme: Scientific-technological</p> <p>Summary: The objective of the investigation is missing; the methodology and results show the conclusions of the study.</p> <p>Keywords: The UNESCO THESAURUS is a universal glossary, which allows the location of the work and its development in an adequate academic way. Agriculture – Vegetables – Vegetable product...</p> <p>Introduction: The background, the problem is clear as its justification.</p> <p>Materials and methods: The research environment is not described; the population selected as the randomized block design is relevant. The method for data interpretation is not indicated.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: The results are compared with those of other studies and this allows the findings to provide new information on mechanical damage and hence the need for a new study to optimize these processes. This does not indicate the writing but it would be good to mention it as a possible line of investigation.</p> <p>Conclusions: In the absence of the objective of the investigation, it is not possible to measure this section. However, according to the problem raised, it can be said that this contributes to the possible hypothesis raised.</p> <p>Bibliographic references: The references are acceptable although it is suggested to be at least between 30 – 50 because they support the study. However, the requirement of the journal must be taken into account.</p>	
Minor REVIEW comments	I SUGGEST THE PUBLICATION OF THE ARTICLE BECAUSE OF ITS CONTRIBUTION TO RESEARCH IN THE AGRICULTURAL FIELD. IN ADDITION, IT IS IMPORTANT TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE SUGGESTIONS.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeverson Santiago Quishpe Gaibor
Department, University & Country	Salesian Polytechnic University, Ecuador