

Effect of phosphorous and potassium on growth parameters, yield and yield attributes of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*) under the rainfed condition in Chitrakoot, India

Abstract

The present study investigate the effect of phosphorous and potassium on growth parameters, yield and yield attributes of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*) under the rainfed condition in Chitrakoot, India. Field experiments were carried out to investigate the effect of phosphorus and potassium on chickpea growth parameters, yield components, and yield during the rabi season of 2021-22 at Rajoula Agriculture farm, Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwavidyalaya Chitrakoot, Satna (M.P.). The experiment consist of nine treatments combinations in factorial randomized block design with three replications consisted of three levels of phosphorous (50, 60 and 70 kg ha⁻¹) and three potassium levels (30, 40 and 50 kg ha⁻¹). Chickpea variety *IPC-2011-112* was grown with the recommended agronomic practices. On the basis of results emanated from investigation it can be concluded that among the growth parameters maximum plant height at 90 DAS is 37.40 cm, maximum number of branches at 90 DAS is 32.53 and maximum number of nodules plant⁻¹ at 45 DAS is 46.00 during the study were associated with the treatment T₉ [70 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹+ 50 kg K₂O ha⁻¹]. Similarly, among the yield components and productivity parameters maximum values in relation to number of pod plant⁻¹ (69.33), number of seed pod⁻¹ (1.73), 100 grain wt. (22.66 gm) and grain yield (16.85 q ha⁻¹) was also found in the treatment T₉ [70 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹+ 50 kg K₂O ha⁻¹].

Key Words: Chickpea, Growth, Phosphorous, Potassium, and Yield.

Introduction

“Pulses play a pivotal role and occupy a unique position in Indian agriculture by virtue of their inherent capacity to grow on marginal lands. It is an easily available source of protein in the rural heart of India. Pulses provide significant nutritional and health benefits and are known to reduce several non- communicable diseases such as colon cancer and cardio-vascular diseases” (Jukanti *et al.*, 2012).

“India is the largest producer (25% of global production), consumer (27% of world consumption) and importer (14%) of pulses in the world. India ranks first in the world in terms of pulse production (25% of total worlds production)” (FAOSTAT 2017). “In India chickpea occupies 10.17 million ha area, with a production of 11.35 million tonnes

registering the productivity of 1116 kg/ha. In Uttar Pradesh, chickpea crop occupied 0.62 million hectares area, 0.85 million tonnes production and 1371 kg/ha productivity” (**Anonymous, 2021**).

“More than 90 per cent of total pulse production has been the contributed from 10 states namely Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Karnataka, Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh, Jharkhand, Telangana and Tamil Nadu. Rajasthan has the highest area (24.21%) under chickpea, followed by Maharashtra (22.82%), Madhya Pradesh (18.94%), Karnataka (10.27%), Uttar Pradesh (6.10%) and Andhra Pradesh (4.56%)”. (**Anonymous, 2021**).

“Phosphorus (P) is an essential nutrient of numerous vital plant structural compounds. Phosphorus is one of the essential nutrients for legume growth and BNF” (**Mhango et al., 2008**).

“Phosphorus deficiency can limit nodule number, leaf area, and biomass and grain development in legumes. Symbiotic nitrogen fixation has a high P demand because the process consumes large amounts of energy” (**Schulze et al., 2006**) and “energy generating metabolism strongly depends upon the availability of P” (**Plaxton, 2004**). **Singh and Sale (2000)** reported that “P fertilization stimulates root growth, photosynthesis and increases hydraulic conductivity of roots. Phosphorus is used in numerous molecular and biochemical plant processes, particularly in energy acquisition, storage and utilization” (**Epstein and Bloom, 2005**).

“Legumes are heavy feeder of phosphorus and less responsive to nitrogen because of their capacity to meet their own nitrogen requirement through symbiotic fixation” (**Kumar et al. 2016**). “Phosphorus is connected with some particular plant growth factors that are root development, vigorous stem, enhanced flower formation and seed production, earlier and more uniform crop maturity, increase nitrogen fixing capacity of legumes, improvement in crop quality and resistance to plant diseases” (**Rehan et al. 2018**). “It is required for higher and sustainable production of grain legumes. Generally, legumes have higher P requisites due to more consumption of energy in the process of symbiotic nitrogen fixation” (**Islam et al. 2012**).

“Potassium application has been neglected in many countries, including India, which has resulted in soil K depletion in agricultural ecosystems and a decline in crop yields” (**Regmi et al., 2002**). “Higher yields and crop quality can be obtained at optimal N: K nutritional ratios. K is an essential macronutrient required for proper development of plants.

In addition to activation of numerous enzymes, K plays an important role in the maintenance of electrical potential gradients across cell membranes and the generation of turgor. It is also essential for photosynthesis, protein synthesis and regulation of stomatal movement and is the major cation in the maintenance of cation-anion balances” (Marschner, 2002). “High availability of K enhances root development, producing more branching and lateral roots” (Egilla *et al.*, 2001)

“Potassium is involved in many physiological process such as photosynthesis (Vyas *et al.*, 2001), photosynthetic translocation, protein and starch synthesis, water energy relations (Rao and Rao, 2004), translocation of assimilates and activation of number of enzymes” (Sharma and Agrawal, 2002).

Method and Materials

Experimental Sites

The experiment was carried out at Rajaula Agriculture farm, Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramoday Vishwavidyalaya Chitrakoot, Satna (M.P.) which lies in the semi- arid and sub-tropical region of Madhya Pradesh between 25.148° North latitude and 80.855° East longitude. The altitude of town is about 190-210 meter above mean sea level.

Edaphic Condition

The soil of the experimental field was alluvial in origin, sandy loam in texture and slightly alkaline in reaction having pH 7.28 (1:2.5 soil: water suspension method given by Jackson, 1973), organic carbon percentage in soil is 0.34 per cent (Walkley and Black’s rapid titration method given by Walkley and Black, 1934), with available nitrogen 98.0 kg ha⁻¹ (Alkaline permanganate method given by Subbiah and Asija, 1956), available phosphorus as sodium bicarbonate-extractable P₂O₅ was 17.32 kg ha⁻¹ (Olsen’s calorimetrically method, Olsen *et al.*, 1954) available potassium was 305.99 kg ha⁻¹ (Flame photometer method given by Hanwey and Heidel, 1952)

Detail of treatments and design

The nine treatments combination of nutrient management practices having three each phosphorus levels (50, 60 and 70 kg ha⁻¹) and potassium levels (30, 40 and 50 kg ha⁻¹). Experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications.

Table 1 : List of treatments used for the study

Treatment	Treatment Details	Symbol
T ₁	50 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 30 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	P ₅₀ K ₃₀

T ₂	50 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 40 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	P₅₀K₄₀
T ₃	50 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 50 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	P₅₀K₅₀
T ₄	60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 30 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	P₆₀K₃₀
T ₅	60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 40 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	P₆₀K₄₀
T ₆	60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 50 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	P₆₀K₅₀
T ₇	70 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 30 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	P₇₀K₃₀
T ₈	70 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 40 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	P₇₀K₄₀
T ₉	70 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ + 50 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹	P₇₀K₅₀

Crop Husbandry

In the experimental field, a pre-sowing irrigation (Paleva) was carried out with the goal of achieving the ideal moisture conditions for achieving good germination. A tractor-drawn mould board plough was used for one ploughing at the proper tillage, followed by two cultivator ploughings. Urea is used to apply nitrogen at a uniform rate of Phosphorus and potassium was applied as per treatment at the time of sowing through single super phosphate and muriate of potash respectively. The sowing of chickpea crop was done using a seed rate of 80 kg ha⁻¹ in furrows opened by plough in the furrows spaced at 45 cm apart. Planking was done to cover the seeds with fine soil after sowing.

Harvesting and threshing: When the crop was fully grown, it was harvested and allowed to dry in the sun. Each plot received a unique bundle that was weighed. The harvest was manually threshed after drying.

Grain yield

Following threshing, the grain yield from each plot was individually weighed, converted to quintals per hectare, and recorded.

Result and Discussion

Growth Parameters

Plant height (cm)

Plant height (cm) was significantly influenced by the use of different phosphorous and potassium levels. Plant height (cm) at 90 DAS was significantly higher P₂O₅@70 kg ha⁻¹ as compared to P₂O₅@60 kg ha⁻¹ and P₂O₅@50 kg ha⁻¹. The mean plant height (cm) at P₅₀, P₆₀ and P₇₀ were 33.79, 34.75 and 36.80 cm at 90 DAS. Plant height (cm) at 90 DAS was significantly higher in K₂O @50 kg ha⁻¹ as compared to K₂O @40 kg ha⁻¹ and K₂O @30 kg ha⁻¹. The mean plant height (cm) at K₃₀, K₄₀ and K₅₀ were 34.52, 35.03 and 35.80 cm at 90 DAS. The height of shoot was stimulated due to phosphorous which may be

attributed to its essentiality in cell division. The consequences of the current investigation are additionally in concurrence with the investigation of **Murari *et al.* (2013)**, **Singh and Singh (2012)** and **Yadav *et al.* (2022)**

Number of branches plant⁻¹

The no. of branches per plant is an important indication of growth. No. of branches per plant was significantly influenced by the use of different phosphorous levels at all growth stages. No. of branches per plant at 90 DAS was significantly higher P₂O₅@70 kg ha⁻¹ as compared to P₂O₅@60 kg ha⁻¹ and P₂O₅@50 kg ha⁻¹. The mean no. of branches per plant at P₅₀, P₆₀ and P₇₀ were 30.20, 31.22 and 32.24 at 90 DAS. No. of branches per plant of chickpea significantly increased with the use of different potassium levels. No. of branches per plant at 90 DAS was significantly higher in K₂O@50 kg ha⁻¹ as compared to K₂O @40 kg ha⁻¹ and K₂O @30 kg ha⁻¹. The mean no. of branches per plant at K₃₀, K₄₀ and K₅₀ were 30.68, 31.37 and 31.60 at 90 DAS. The results of the present investigation are also in agreement with the findings of **Jaybhay *et al.* (2015)** and **Yadav *et al.* (2022)**

Number of nodule plant⁻¹

The no. of nodule per plant is an important indication of growth. No. of nodule per plant was significantly influenced by the use of different phosphorous levels at all growth stages. No. of nodule per plant at 45 was significantly higher P₂O₅@70 kg ha⁻¹ as compared to P₂O₅@60 kg ha⁻¹ and P₂O₅@50 kg ha⁻¹. The mean no. of nodule per plant at P₅₀, P₆₀ and P₇₀ were 29.00, 37.66 and 42.66 respectively at 45 days after sowing. No. of nodule per plant of chickpea significantly increased with the use of different potassium levels. No. of nodule per plant at 45 DAS was significantly higher in K₂O@50 kg ha⁻¹ as compared to K₂O @40 kg ha⁻¹ and K₂O @30 kg ha⁻¹. The mean no. of nodule per plant at K₃₀, K₄₀ and K₅₀ were 33.33, 36.33 and 39.66 respectively at 30 DAS. The consequences of the current investigation are additionally in concurrence with the investigation of **Thesiya *et al.* (2013)** and **Badini *et al.* (2015)**

Table-2: Growth parameters of chickpea as influenced by phosphorous and potassium levels

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches plant⁻¹	No. of nodule plant⁻¹
P (kg ha⁻¹)	90 DAS	90 DAS	45 DAS
50	33.79	30.20	29.00
60	34.75	31.22	37.66
70	36.80	32.24	42.66

SE(m)±	0.41	0.46	0.50
CD(P=0.05)	1.24	1.40	1.51
K (kg ha⁻¹)			
30	34.52	30.68	33.33
40	35.03	31.37	36.33
50	35.80	31.60	39.66
SE(m)±	0.41	0.46	0.50
CD(P=0.05)	1.24	1.40	1.51
Interaction	S	S	S

Yield components and yield

No. of pod plant⁻¹

No. of pod plant⁻¹ is an important indication of yield attributing characters. No. of pod plant⁻¹ was significantly influenced by the use of different phosphorous levels at harvest stages. No. of pod plant⁻¹ at harvest stage was significantly higher P₂O₅@70 kg ha⁻¹ as compared to P₂O₅@60 kg ha⁻¹ and P₂O₅@50 kg ha⁻¹. The mean no. of pod plant⁻¹ at P₅₀, P₆₀ and P₇₀ were 54.86, 60.91 and 67.71 respectively at harvest stage. No. of pod plant⁻¹ of chickpea significantly increased with the use of different potassium levels. No. of pod plant⁻¹ at harvest stage was significantly higher in K₂O@50 kg ha⁻¹ as compared to K₂O @40 kg ha⁻¹ and K₂O @30 kg ha⁻¹. The mean no. of pod plant⁻¹ at K₃₀, K₄₀ and K₅₀ were 59.44, 60.86 and 63.17 respectively at harvest stage. The results of the present investigation are also in agreement with the findings of Neenu *et al.* (2014), Ullah *et al.* (2018) and Kumar *et al.* (2019)

No. of seed pod⁻¹

No. of seed pod⁻¹ is an important indication of yield attributing characters. No. of seed pod⁻¹ was significantly influenced by the use of different phosphorous levels at harvest stage. No. of seed pod⁻¹ at harvest stage was significantly higher P₂O₅@70 kg ha⁻¹ as compared to P₂O₅@60 kg ha⁻¹ and P₂O₅@50 kg ha⁻¹. The mean no. of seed pod⁻¹ at P₅₀, P₆₀ and P₇₀ were 1.52, 1.60 and 1.69 respectively at harvest stage. No. of seed pod⁻¹ of chickpea significantly increased with the use of different potassium levels. No. of seed pod⁻¹ at harvest stage was significantly higher in K₂O@50 kg ha⁻¹ as compared to K₂O @40 kg ha⁻¹ and K₂O @30 kg ha⁻¹. The mean no. of seed pod⁻¹ at K₃₀, K₄₀ and K₅₀ were 1.57, 1.60 and 1.63 respectively at

harvest stage. The consequences of the current investigation are additionally in concurrence with the investigation of **Shelake et al. (2011)**, **Billore et al. (2009)** and **Patel et al. (2022)**.

Seed Index

Seed index is an important indication of yield attributing characters. Seed index was significantly influenced by the use of different phosphorous levels at harvest stage. Seed index at harvest stage was significantly higher $P_2O_5@70 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ as compared to $P_2O_5@60 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ and $P_2O_5@50 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$. The mean seed index at P_{50} , P_{60} and P_{70} were 20.33, 21.44 and 22.33 gm respectively at harvest stage. Seed index of chickpea significantly increased with the use of different potassium levels. Seed index at harvest stage was significantly higher in $K_2O @50 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ as compared to $K_2O @40 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ and $K_2O @30 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$. The mean seed index at K_{30} , K_{40} and K_{50} were 20.88, 21.44 and 21.77 gm respectively at harvest stage. These results also confirms the findings of **Kumar et al. (2016)**, **Sahare et al. (2019)** and **Sachan et al. (2022)**

Seed Yield ($q \text{ ha}^{-1}$)

Seed yield ($q \text{ ha}^{-1}$) is an important indication of productivity characters. Seed yield ($q \text{ ha}^{-1}$) was significantly influenced by the use of different phosphorous levels at harvest stage. Seed yield ($q \text{ ha}^{-1}$) at harvest stage was significantly higher $P_2O_5@70 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ as compared to $P_2O_5@60 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ and $P_2O_5@50 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$. The mean seed yield ($q \text{ ha}^{-1}$) at P_{50} , P_{60} and P_{70} were 13.02, 14.49 and 16.20 $q \text{ ha}^{-1}$ respectively at harvest stage. Seed yield ($q \text{ ha}^{-1}$) of chickpea significantly increased with the use of different potassium levels. Seed yield ($q \text{ ha}^{-1}$) at harvest stage was significantly higher in $K_2O@50 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ as compared to $K_2O @40 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ and $K_2O @30 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$. The mean seed yield ($q \text{ ha}^{-1}$) at K_{30} , K_{40} and K_{50} were 14.02, 14.56 and 15.13 $q \text{ ha}^{-1}$ respectively at harvest stage. The results of the present investigation are also in agreement with the findings of **Tripathy et al. (2019)**, **Pal et al. (2021)**, **Singh et al. (2022)** and **Sachan et al. (2022)**

Table-3: Yield components and yield of chickpea as influenced by phosphorous and potassium levels

Treatments	No. of pod plant ⁻¹	No. of seed pod ⁻¹	Seed index (g)	Seed yield ($q \text{ ha}^{-1}$)
P ($kg \text{ ha}^{-1}$)	At harvest	At harvest	At harvest	At harvest
50	54.86	1.52	20.33	13.02
60	60.91	1.60	21.44	14.49
70	67.71	1.69	22.33	16.20
SE(m)±	0.94	0.65	0.37	0.017

CD(P=0.05)	2.84	1.95	1.13	0.052
K (kg ha⁻¹)				
30	59.44	1.57	20.88	14.02
40	60.86	1.60	21.44	14.56
50	63.17	1.63	21.77	15.13
SE(m)±	0.94	0.65	0.37	0.017
CD(P=0.05)	2.84	1.95	1.13	0.052
Interaction	S	S	S	S

Conclusion

The present findings concluded that application of 70 kg P₂O₅ along with 50 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ proved the most optimum and the beneficial fertility management for the “**IPC-2011-112**” Variety of Chickpea for the Bundelkhand /Chitrakoot region of Madhya Pradesh. This fertility management (P₇₀K₅₀) resulted to attain maximum growth parameters as well as yield and yield attributing characters.

References

- Anonymous. (2021).** Agricultural Statistics at a Glance 2020. Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, New Delhi, p. 63.
- Badini, S.A., Khan, M., Baloch, S.U., Baloch, S.K., Baloch, H.N., Bashir, W., Badini, A.R., Badini, M.A. (2015).** Effect of Phosphorus Levels on Growth and Yield of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Varieties. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research*. **5** (3): ISSN 2224-3186 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0921 (Online).
- Billore, S.D., Ramesh, A., Vyas, A.K. and Joshi, O. P. (2009).** Potassium use efficiencies and economic optimization as influenced by levels of potassium and Soybean (*Glycine max*) genotypes under staggered planting. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, **79**(7):510-514.
- Egilla, J.N., Davies, F. T. and Drew, M.C. (2001).** Effect of potassium on drought resistance of *Hibiscus rosasinensis*. cv. Leprechaun: Plant growth, leaf macro and micronutrient content, and root longevity. *Plant and Soil*. **229**: 213–224.
- Epstein, E. and Bloom, A.J. (2005).** *Mineral nutrition of plants: Principles and perspectives*, 2nd ed. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates.

Hanway, J.J; and Heidel, H. (1952). Soil analysis methods as used in Iowa State College, Soil Testing Laboratory. *Iowa Agriculture* **54**: 1-31.

Islam M, Mohsan S, Ali S, Khalid R & Afzal S (2012). Response of chickpea to various levels of phosphorus and sulphur under rainfed conditions in Pakistan. *Romanian Agric Res.* **29**: 175-183.

Jackson, M.L. (1973). Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi.

Jaybhay, B. J., Jaybhay, S. A., Bhalerao, A. G. and Kamble, S. K. (2015). Effect of potassium on yield and economics of kabuli chickpea. *International Journal of Advanced Research*, (3)**6**: 436-438.

Jukanti, A.K., Gaur, P.M., Gowda, C.L.L. and Chibbar, R.N. (2012). Nutritional quality and health benefits of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *A Review British J. Nutr.* **108**: S11-S26.

Kumar, S., Tripathi, D.K., Bharose, R., Kumar, M. and Kumar, R. (2016). Effect of different fertility level and micronutrients on nodulation and nutrient uptake by chickpea. *An Asian Journal of Soil Sci.***11** (1): 62-66.

Kumar, J., Kumar, S. and Prakash, V. (2019). Effect of Biofertilizers and Phosphorus Levels on Soil Fertility, Yield and Nodulation in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science.* **67** (2):199-203.

Marschner, H. (2002). *Mineral nutrition of higher plants.* London: Academic Press.

Mhango, W.G., Mughogho, S.K., Sakala, W.D. and Saka A.R. (2008). The effect of phosphorus and sulphur fertilizers on grain Legumes and maize productivity in Northern Malawi. *Bunda Journal of Agriculture, Environmental Science and Technology* **3**: 20-27.

Murari L., A. K., Mathur, H. S., Meena, R. L. and Solanki, R. L., (2013) Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on yield, quality and nutrient uptake by chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Environ. and Ecol.*, **31** (1A) : 325-327.

Neenu, S., Ramesh, K., Ramana, S., Biswas, A.K. and Rao, A.S. (2014). Growth and yield of different varieties of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) as influenced by the phosphorus nutrition under rainfed conditions on vertisols. *Internat. J. Bio-resource. & Stress Mgmt.*, **5** (1): 53-57.

Olsen, S.R, Cole, C.V., Watanable, F. S. and Dean, L. A. (1954). Estimation of available phosphorous in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *USDA, Cric.* **930**:19- 23

Pal, S., Pandey, S. B., Singh, A., Singh, S., Sachan, R., & Yadav, A. (2021). Effect of Phosphorus, Boron and Rhizobium inoculation on productivity and profitability of chickpea. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 2021; 10(12): 1810-1814

Patel, K. K., Pandey, A. K., Baheliya, A. K., Rai, R., Bhadauria, S., & Sachan, R. (2022). Production and economic feasibility of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) by the diverse bioinputs and soil nutrients amendments. *Int J Plant Soil Sci*, 34(21), 15-24.

Plaxton, W.C. (2004). Plant response to stress: biochemical adaptations to phosphate deficiency. In: Goodman R (eds.) *Encyclopedia of Plant and Crop Science*. New York: Marcel Dekker. pp. 976-980.

Rao, N. K., and Kameshwar Rao, B. V. (2004). Effect of potash levels and topping on leaf potassium, yield and quality in flue cured tobacco. *Indian J. of plant physiol.*, 9: 283-293.

Rehan, W., Jan, A., Liaqat, W., Jan, F.M., Ahmadzai, M.D., Ahmad, H., Haroon, J., Anjum, M.M. and Ali, N. (2018). Effect of phosphorous, rhizobium inoculation and residue types on chickpea productivity. *Pure Appl. Biol.*, 7(4): 1203-1213.

Sachan, R., Pandey, S. B., Kumar, R., Singh, D., Sharma, S., Kumar, K., ... & Sachan, H. (2022). Effect of Phosphorous, Chloropyriphos and Rhizobium Inoculation on Production and Economics of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 34(22): 1390-1398, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.91444

Sachan, R., Pandey, S. B., Kumar, A., Pathak, R. K., Pandey, H. P., Singh, A., ... & Kumar, M. (2022). Interaction effect of Phosphorous, Chloropyriphos and Rhizobium Inoculation on Growth Characteristics, Yield Components and Productivity Parameters of Chickpea under Central Plain Zone of Uttar Pradesh. *Interaction*, 53(08). ISSN: 2320-7035

Sahare, D., Shrivastava, G.K. and Dwivedi, S.K. (2019). Effect of basal and foliar application of major and micro nutrients on flower drop, pod setting index and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 8(6): 1906-1910.

Schulze, J., Temple, G., Temple, S.J., Beschow, H., and Vance, C.P. (2006). Nitrogen fixation by white lupin under phosphorus deficiency. *Annals of Botany* 98: 731-740.

Sharma, G. L. and Agarawal, R. M. (2002). Potassium induced changes in nitrate reductive activity in *Cicer arietinum* L. *Indian J. Plant Physiol.*, 7(3): 221- 226.

Shelake, S.R., Takate, A.S., Kadlag, A.D. and Kadam, J.R. (2011). Effect of foliar application of potassium nitrate and diammonium phosphate on moisture use efficiency, nutrient uptake and yield of chickpea under dry land condition. State level seminar on Potassium for better production and higher quality of crops at MPKV, Rahuri Nov. 2011.

Singh, D.K. and Sale, P.W.G. (2000). Growth and potentially conductivity of white clover roots in dry soil with increasing phosphorus supply and defoliation frequency. *Agronomy Journal* **92**: 868-874.

Singh, D. and Singh, H. (2012). Effect of phosphorus and zinc nutrition on yield, nutrient uptake and quality chickpea. *Ann. Pl. Soil Res.* **14**(1): 71-74.

Singh, A. K., Dimree, S., Kumar, A., Sachan, R., Sirohiya, A., & Nema, S. (2022). Effect of rhizobium inoculation with different levels of inorganic fertilizers on yield, nutrient content & uptake of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Int J Plant Soil Sci*, **34**(22), 262-8.

Subbiah, B.V. and Asija, C.L. (1956). A rapid procedure for the estimation of available N in Soil. *Curr. Sci.* **25**:259-260.

Thesiya, N. M., Chovatia, P. K. and Kikani, V. L. (2013). Effect of potassium and sulphur on growth and yield of black gram (*Vigna mungo* L) under rainfed Condition. *Legume Res.*, **36** (3): 255-258.

Tripathy, D.K., Kumar, S. and Zaidy, S.F.A. (2019). Effect of phosphorus, sulphur and micronutrients (Zinc and Boron) levels on performance of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Natl. Acad. Sci. Lett.* 10.1007/s40009-019-00802-4.

Ullah, S., Jan, A., Ali, M., Ahmad, A., Ullah, A., Ahmad, G., Afridi, K., Ishaq, M., Safed, M. Riaz, A. (2018). Effect of phosphorus and zinc under different application methods on yield attributes of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *IJAAER.* **3**(1): 79-85.

Vyas, S.P., Garg, B.K., Kathju, S., and Lahiri, A.N. (2001). Influence of potassium on water relations, photosynthesis, nitrogen metabolism and yield of cluster bean under soil moisture stress. *Indian J. Plant Physiol.*, **6**: 30-37.

Walkley, A. and Black, C. S.A. (1934). Old piper, S.S. soil and plant analysis. *Soil Sci.* **37**:29- 38.

Yadav, A., Singh, D., Kumar, R., Sachan, R., Kumar, K., Singh, A., & Singh, K. K. (2022). Response of Different Level of Phosphorus, Zinc and Rhizobium Inoculation on

Growth Yield Attributes and Yield of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 12(11), 1954-1964.

Yadav, P., Yadav, D. D., Pandey, H. P., Yadav, A., Sachan, R., & Yadav, S. (2022). Effect of Fertility Levels and Biofertilizers on Growth Parameters, Root Architecture and Quality of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 34(17): 61-67, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.86643 ISSN: 2320-7035

UNDER PEER REVIEW