

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_93980
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of phosphorous and potassium on growth parameters, yield and yield attributes of chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) under the rainfed condition in Chitrakoot
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Revise the title as more catchy and informative</p> <p>The aim of this review is not clearly mentioned, so author should highlight the main goal of this work</p> <p>The conclusion section of abstract is not clear author should clearly represent study conclusion.</p> <p>The unit and symbols used in this manuscript need to be double checked to maintain the consistency.</p> <p>The organism's names should be in italics, so author should check and correct it.</p> <p>The manuscript format need to be check and change as per journal format</p> <p>Author should avoid old references in introduction section, since it may reduce the update of the work. Authors may refer these articles https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12081801 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.966226 http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.1017043</p> <p>Few sentences are difficult to understand, so author may improve the language of the manuscript.</p> <p>Author should check the entire manuscript to correct the typographical errors and language need to be improved.</p> <p>The conclusion section needs to be improved with highlight of the result and practically possible future perspectives.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mathiyazhagan Narayanan
Department, University & Country	Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Science, India