

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_93880
Title of the Manuscript:	HOUSEHOLDS' WASTE DISPOSAL PRACTICES AND ITS IMPACT ON HEALTH
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article needs minor revision	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Needs to be improved. State the purpose, methodology used, finding. Introduction: Cite more recent articles. Especially the articles published in 2022. The following articles helps in improving the quality of the manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vorobeva, D., Scott, I. J., Oliveira, T., & Neto, M. (2022). Adoption of new household waste management technologies: The role of financial incentives and pro-environmental behavior. <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i>, 132328. • Koppiahraj, K., Bathrinath, S., & Saravanasankar, S. (2019). Leather waste management scenario in developed and developing nations. <i>International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology</i>, 9(1S4), 852-857. • Nourbakhshsamani, N., Fami, H. S., & Amadeh, H. (2022). Quantitative and qualitative benefits of household efforts to dry food waste at source. <i>International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology</i>, 19(6), 4723-4736. <p>Materials and Methods: Can be improved. Results and discussion: Compare and contrast with older studies. Especially latest articles. Conclusion: Also provide the future scope of the study.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Koppiahraj
Department, University & Country	Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, India