

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_93516
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of inorganic fertilizers (NPK) with organic sources (Biomix & HA) on bulb quality and storage quality of onion ( <i>Allium cepa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The "Abstract" should all be in one paragraph and not indented. I would take "Factorial" out of the RBD explanation.</p> <p>Paragraphs should contain at least three sentences.</p> <p>State all objectives of research at the end of the "Introduction".</p> <p>Explain and spell out what "RDF" means before using the abbreviation throughout the text.</p> <p>Give the N-P-K amounts of each fertilizer used; ex. Urea 46-0-0 (N-P2O5-K20).</p> <p>Write full paragraphs rather than indenting headings in "Materials and Methods".</p> <p>Need more detail on the statistical analysis used.</p> <p>What sugar are you investigating? Glucose? If so, this needs to be mentioned prominently.</p> <p>Format all tables according to journal specifications.</p> <p>If no significant interactions were observed for all parameters, then there is no need to display them in a table.</p> <p>State justification for further research at the end of the "Conclusion".</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Formatting (line spacing, indentation and headings) need to be consistent and adapted to journal specifications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This is a well written paper with minor issues which can be easily resolved. The author(s) evidently took time and great care into the research.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	James Burke
Department, University & Country	University of Arkansas, United States of America