

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_93423 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Genetic analysis for phenological characters in desi x desi crosses of chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) under timely and late sown conditions |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | 1. Scientific Quality of the work i. The Methods appropriate ii. Research violation nil iii. Data adequate to support the conclusion iv. Figures and graph suitable v. Tables suitable vi. Rating good | |
| Minor REVISION comments | i. Correction suggested in Manuscript i. Abstract OK ii. The paper is well organized iii. Analysis and findings adequately support the objectives of the paper iv. Authors guideline s have been properly followed in preparing the manuscript. v. Literature rview is adequate. | |
| Optional/General comments | GOOD | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Name: | Vikas Khandelwal |
| Department, University & Country | ICAR, India |