

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_93046
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Nano-NPK fertilizers on Vegetative Growth Parameter and Soil Microbial Activity of Fig Crop Under Different Irrigation Regims
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled "Effect of Different Nano-NPK fertilizers on Vegetative Growth Parameter and Soil Microbial Activity of Fig Crop under Different irrigation Regimes" is an interesting topic in modern context of Precision Farming and Nano-Technology. However some revision is required before processing the same as detailed :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In Introduction the importance of the economic crop under the study on the perspective of water scarcity and Nano-Technology should be addressed to enrich the objective of Research. 2. In Materials & Methods the quantum of irrigation water, source, scheduling etc need to be elaborated for better clarity of the irrigation regimes. 3. The Reference section need to be revised as per standard format of the Journal. 4. Experimental site (Latitude, Longitude, Meteorological condition etc) need to be focussed for fertility and water Management related Experiment. 5. The Result & Discussion portion need to be revised with concise explanation of significant achievement in treatments as evident from statistical analyses. 6. The procedure of standardization of Nano-Particles better to be concise with the technical specification of the materials used. 7. Proper numbering should be included within the Manuscript. 8. The Conclusion should be modified and revised with the salient experimental findings from the present experimental Results. 	
Minor REVISION comments	The Typographical mistakes within the manuscript need to be rectified.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashim Midya
Department, University & Country	India