

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_93755
Title of the Manuscript:	ROLE OF CONDENSED TANNINS IN THE ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY OF SEEDS OF COLA NITIDA (VENT) SCHOTT & ENDL. (MALVACEAE)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Give explanation of EWC and EC2. Give reference in the red marked line.3. Plant authentication reference number should be mentioned.4. For Preparation of plant extracts methodology give reference. (red Marked area.5. Figure 3: Inhibition percentage of samples on DPPH test – verify the inhibition percentage of Standard Ascorbic acid6. As per Author Guidelines illustrations, figures and tables are placed within the text at the appropriate points, rather than at the end.7. Check the Spelling in the Introduction Part	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Plant name - <i>Cola nitida</i> (Vent) Schott. and Endl.2. Reducing percentage of different samples in FRAP test - verify the inhibition percentage of Standard Ascorbic acid .3. The Results part should be in detail.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In Conclusion give the discussion for : two samples were made. One treated with casein (EC) and the other one without treatment (EWC).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Kathiresan
Department, University & Country	Annamalai University, India