

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_93197
Title of the Manuscript:	THERAPEUTIC POTENTIAL OF POMEGRANATE IN SARS-CoV-2 AND ACUTE RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (ARDS)
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	NONE	
Minor REVISION comments	NONE	
Optional/General comments	<p>Present review article discusses various types of polyphenols of pomegranate extract works against rapid viral replication, cytokine storm, immune dysfunction, oxidative stress, and delayed apoptosis in SARS CoV-2 infection. Authors suggested for the study to evaluate pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies for potency of bioactive constituents of pomegranate, is rightly said.</p> <p>There are few spelling mistakes which are mentioned below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In abstract "an" should be used before extensive (highlighted with red colour).2. In page 4 line 6 "belongs" should be written instead of belong.(highlighted with red colour)3. In page 9 line 11 "inhibit" should be written instead of inhibits (highlighted with red colour).4. In the last line of page number 11 spelling of "dependent" should be corrected (highlighted with red colour). <p>Therefore keeping in mind the urgency of some natural products to support ongoing drug research for SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, in my opinion, present manuscript may be considered to be published.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tanveer Hasan
Department, University & Country	Shia Post Graduate College, University of Lucknow, India