

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_93197
Title of the Manuscript:	THERAPEUTIC POTENTIAL OF POMEGRANATE IN SARS-CoV-2 AND ACUTE RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (ARDS)
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The article titled 'THERAPEUTIC POTENTIAL OF POMEGRANATE IN SARS-CoV-2 AND ACUTE RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (ARDS)' tackles the potential mechanisms by which various types of polyphenols from the pomegranate extract may work against viral replication, cytokine storm, immune system alteration, oxidative stress and delayed apoptosis in SARS CoV-2 infection, using mostly evidence of anti-tumoral effects of pomegranate extract previously researched. It may be an useful contribution to the journal; however, few changes should be taken into consideration:</p> <p>A Figure to depict viral cell entry as well as the potential target sites in which the components could act at the key steps should be inserted.</p> <p>Also, either a Figure or a graphical abstract to present in a systemic manner the potential actions of the extract would increase the value of the article, in the benefit of the reader.</p> <p>Grammar and punctuation must also be carefully checked within the entire article.</p> <p>Overall, I consider the article an useful contribution to the journal. Therefore, I recommend the manuscript for being published after suggested changes have been taken into consideration by the authors.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mircea Tampa
Department, University & Country	Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Victor Babes Hospital of Infectious Diseases, Romania