

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_92947
Title of the Manuscript:	Antidiabetic and Toxicity Studies of the Extract of Four Nigerian Medicinal Plants
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Please, rewrite the results and conclusion parts in the abstract in correct form.</p> <p>Please, replace words underlined with red line with the correct ones (that refer to that the word needs to be corrected) for example: haematology with hematology.</p> <p>Please, rewrite this sentence in correct way and meaning "This observation further confirmed that the antidiabetic effect of the combination plant extract was significantly better at lower doses than the individual plants, an advantage poly-herbal therapy".</p> <p>These sentences sound wrong "combination plant extract", "component plants", "In the previous work done on the individual plants on this combination"</p> <p>please rewrite in correct form.</p> <p>Please, replace "α-amylase inhibitory antihyperglycaemic" with α-amylase inhibitory activity.</p> <p>Please, replace "The IC₅₀ values of 0.17 ± 0.02 and 0.19 ± 0.01 for the extract and acarbose, respectively indicated the extract like acarbose" with "The IC₅₀ values of 0.17 ± 0.02 and 0.19 ± 0.01 for the extract and acarbose, respectively indicated that the extract likes acarbose"</p> <p>Please, replace "Although, herb-herb combinations have been in use in traditional medicine" with "Although, herb-herb combinations have been used in traditional medicine"</p> <p>"proof of clinical benefits have been slow and weak" with "proof of clinical benefits was slow and weak"</p> <p>"is used ethnomedically as galactagogue [17] and management of diabetes in combination with" with "is used ethnomedically as galactagogue [17] and in the management of diabetes in combination with"</p> <p>In the part of methods please, rewrite "2.8.3. Antihyperglycaemic effect of extract on glucose induced hyperglycaemic rats" more clearly.</p> <p>What do you mean by "HRE" in table 6.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dalia Mamdouh Mabrouk
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt