

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_93356
Title of the Manuscript:	VALORIZATION OF POST-PINEAPPLE HARVESTING RESIDUES AND SAWDUST WASTE INTO FUEL BRIQUETTES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The introduction does not provide sufficient information on the state of the art on the mixture of biomass coals. <p>Material and methods :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please, provide reference for the proportion of lignin in sawdust waste (30%) pineapple leaves (26,71%), - The authors announced Freshwater hyacinth and Cassava starch whereas these two materials were not used in the rest of the work: Please remove or provide results - The relation used to estimate Calorific Value should be given, because authors cited have used molasses or phytoplankton scum us binder. In the present work clay is used as binder <p>Carbonization process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please provide temperature profile of the carbonizer during the carbonization process - 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Please use only one acronym for a parameter in the manuscript Write your manuscript only in English: some parts are in French</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript is very difficult to read The authors of the present work are encouraged to write their manuscript carefully before submitting it to the journal for review.</p> <p>In the manuscript The parts in yellow should be corrected and those in red removed.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kpelou Pali
Department, University & Country	University of Lomé, Togo