

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_94034
Title of the Manuscript:	THE APPLICATION OF UCHKUN BIOSTIMULATES FOR GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT, PRODUCTIVITY OF SPANISH PUMPKIN VARIETIES 73
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The abstract does not explain the research method used.</li><li>2. The research objectives have not been explained in the abstract.</li><li>3. In the introduction it was stated that the aim of the research was to study the effect of the Uchkun biostimulant. Why does the method use other biostimulants?</li><li>4. The introduction does not explain the role of biostimulants for pumpkin</li><li>5. The method of using biostimulants is less clear. The immersion method does not explain what concentration is given.</li><li>6. The method of spraying is also not clear, what concentration is given, when it is sprayed (morning or evening), at what age is it sprayed. Similarly, the mixed treatment needs to be explained in detail.</li><li>7. The research only displays research data, but there is no discussion at all.</li><li>8. Use up to date literature (references 4 and 7 are out of date)</li><li>9. References used are too few</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahayu Woro Lestari
Department, University & Country	University of Islam Malang, Indonesia